

## Broad Scale Intertidal Habitat Mapping of Awarua Bay



Prepared for



August 2004

# Broad Scale Intertidal Habitat Mapping of Awarua Bay

Prepared for



by

Barry Robertson, Leigh Stevens,  
Stephanie Thompson, and Ben Robertson

Cawthron Institute  
98 Halifax Street East  
Private Bag 2  
NELSON  
NEW ZEALAND

Phone: +64.3.548.2319  
Fax: +64.3.546.9464  
Email: [info@cawthron.org.nz](mailto:info@cawthron.org.nz)

*Information contained in this report may not be used without the prior consent of the client*

Cover photo: Awarua Bay (Environment Southland)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. METHODS.....</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1 Overview .....	1
2.2 Colour aerial photography .....	2
2.3 Ground-truthing of habitat features .....	2
2.4 Digitisation of habitat boundaries .....	2
<b>3. CLASSIFICATION AND DEFINITIONS OF HABITAT TYPES .....</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1 Classification of habitat features.....	3
3.2 Habitat codes and terminology .....	3
3.3 Definitions of classification Level III Structural Class.....	5
<b>4. RESULTS.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5. OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>6. COMBINED SUMMARY OF BLUFF HARBOUR AND AWARUA BAY .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>8. REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>15</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 1</b> The area of structural class habitats (based on dominant cover) in Awarua Bay.....	6
<b>Figure 2</b> Broad structural habitat of Awarua Bay, April 2004 .....	7
<b>Figure 3</b> Colour legend and species codes for the habitat and substrate complexes identified in Awarua Bay .....	8
<b>Figure 4</b> Detail of the dominant habitat cover within the Awarua Bay, April 2004.....	9

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 1</b> Classification of estuarine habitat types in Awarua Bay, April 2004.....	4
<b>Table 2</b> The area (Ha and %) of major habitats within Awarua Bay, April 2004. ....	6
<b>Table 3</b> Areas of dominant unvegetated substrata, and primary subdominant cover in Awarua Bay, April 2004. ....	10
<b>Table 4</b> Area of dominant estuarine vegetation within Awarua Bay, April 2004.....	11
<b>Table 5</b> Areas of dominant terrestrial vegetation mapped adjacent to Awarua Bay, April 2004. ....	12
<b>Table 6</b> Summary of combined results for Bluff Harbour and Awarua Bay, April 2004. ....	14

Approved for release by:  
 Dr Barry Robertson, Manager – Coastal Group



## 1. INTRODUCTION

Estuarine intertidal areas play an important role in the nourishment of coastal ecosystems, linking terrestrial and marine environments and often encompassing high value ecological habitats and resources of cultural, recreational and/or commercial importance. Environment Southland (ES) are monitoring a number of estuaries in their region and the Cawthron Institute (Cawthron) has previously undertaken both broad and fine scale habitat mapping and assessment of estuaries in the Southland region (*e.g.* Jacobs River, New River, Mataura) to assist in regional strategic planning, and in the management of specific issues associated with estuarine habitat (*e.g.* resource consents, pollution, and state of the environment monitoring).

To further extend the spatial coverage of the estuaries being monitored, ES and Cawthron recently undertook broad scale mapping of the intertidal substrate and habitat complexes present in Waikawa Estuary (Robertson *et. al* 2004a) and Bluff Harbour (Robertson *et. al* 2004b), and subtidal mapping of Bluff Harbour (Stevens and Clark 2004). This report summarises the results of a detailed point-in-time, spatial survey of major habitats in the intertidal regions of Awarua Bay, the estuary arm that extends east from Bluff Harbour. This report includes the following components:

- A CD-ROM containing the completed habitat maps (titled “*Broad Scale Intertidal Habitat Mapping: Awarua Bay*”).
- A summary report (this document) which includes:
  - a methodology outline.
  - a map showing the broad scale habitats present (*e.g.* Rushland, Tussockland).
  - a map representing the pattern of dominant cover (*e.g.* *Leptocarpus similis*).
  - a summary table of major habitats and substrates within the estuary, providing the area and relative proportions of each grouping.
  - a brief summary of results for Awarua Bay.
  - a combined summary of results for Bluff Harbour and Awarua Bay.

## 2. METHODS

### 2.1 Overview

The methodology used to collect data was based on the National Estuary Monitoring Protocol (Robertson *et al.* 2002) which uses field-verified broad scale mapping of habitat zones. This

procedure involves the use of aerial photography together with detailed ground-truthing and digital mapping using Geographical Information System (GIS) technology. The broad scale habitat mapping approach provides a description of the intertidal environment according to dominant habitat types based on substrate characteristics (mud, sand, cobble, rock, shellfish beds, *etc*) and the vegetation present (*e.g.* rushes, tussocks, eelgrass, seaweed, *etc*), in order to develop a baseline map of the estuary. Once a baseline map has been constructed, changes in the position and/or size of habitats (MfE Confirmed Indicators for the Marine Environment, ME6 2001) can be assessed by repeating the mapping exercise. This information can then be used to evaluate the implications of natural perturbations such as flood/climate events and human impacts such as land management practices (and related river water quantity and quality) on the structure and function of the intertidal ecosystem.

## **2.2 Colour aerial photography**

Aerial photographs of Bluff Harbour and Awarua Bay were taken on 14 August 1996 by Les McGraw (ES) and provided to us as rectified tiff files at a scale of 1:9,500.

## **2.3 Ground-truthing of habitat features**

Aerial photographs, through different textural and tonal patterns, indicate the presence of different substrate types and their spatial extents. To identify the dominant habitat present, and confirm the boundaries between substrates, an experienced estuarine scientist (Cawthron) supported by ES staff walked over the whole estuary at low-mid tide during April 2004. Dominant habitat types, including various categories of bare and vegetated substrate were recorded directly onto laminated aerial photographs (scale 1:5,000 to 1:10,000) using the codes listed in Table 1. The upper boundary was set at MHWS (Mean High Water Spring), unless supra-littoral habitat was considered integral with the upper intertidal, in which case it was included. The lower boundary was set at MLWS (Mean Low Water Spring). Some terrestrial vegetation was also mapped to indicate where shrub, scrub, and forest areas were present around the edge of the estuary.

## **2.4 Digitisation of habitat boundaries**

Vegetation and substrate features were then digitally mapped on-screen from the rectified photographs using Arcmap 8.3 GIS software. This procedure involved copying, as precisely as possible, the habitat features recorded on aerial photographs during the field surveys onto rectified aerial photographs within the GIS. Each drawing was then saved to a shape file (or GIS layer)

associated with each specific feature. The software was then used to produce maps and calculate the area cover for each habitat type.

### 3. CLASSIFICATION AND DEFINITIONS OF HABITAT TYPES

#### 3.1 Classification of habitat features

The classification of substrate and habitat features has been based on the proposed estuarine national classification system (with adaptations), which was developed under a Ministry for the Environment SMF (Sustainable Management Fund) programme (Monitoring Changes in Wetland Extent: An Environmental Performance Indicator for Wetlands) by Lincoln Environmental, Lincoln. The classification system for wetland types is based on the Atkinson System (Atkinson 1985) and covers four levels, ranging from broad to fine scale. The broad scale mapping focuses on Levels III and IV (see Table 1). Substrate classification is based on surface layers only and does not consider underlying substrate; *e.g.* gravel fields covered by sand would be classed as sand. A list of all the classification types used in the study and their codes are given in Table 1, with definitions for classification of the Level III structural class provided in Section 3.3.

#### 3.2 Habitat codes and terminology

Dominant biota with a spatial coverage of >2m in diameter has been classified using an interpretation of the Atkinson (1985) system. In this report biota and substratum are listed in order of dominance as described below:

- Individual plant species are coded using the two first letters of their Latin species and genus names *e.g.* Pldi = *Plagianthus divaricatus* (ribbonwood), Lesi = *Leptocarpus similis* (jointed wire rush).
- \_ is used to indicate subdominant species *e.g.* Lesi\_Pldi = Pldi is subdominant to Lesi. The classification is based on the subjective observation of which vegetation is the dominant or subdominant species within the patch, and not on percentage cover.
- Shape files in the GIS have been labelled in the same manner as that described above.

**Table 1** Classification of estuarine habitat types in Awarua Bay, April 2004.


Level I Hydrosystem	Level IA SubSystem	Level II Class	Level III Structural Class	Level IV Dominant Cover	Habitat Code			
Estuary (alternating saline and freshwater)	Intertidal/supratidal	Saltmarsh	Shrub/Scrub/Forest	<i>Gaultheria sp.</i> , "Snowberry" <i>Leptospermum scoparium</i> , "Manuka" <i>Pinus radiata</i> , "Pine tree" <i>Podocarpus totara</i> , "Totara" <i>Pteridium esculentum</i> , "Bracken fern" <i>Plagianthus divaricatus</i> , "Saltmarsh ribbonwood" <i>Ulex europaeus</i> , "Gorse"	Gasp Lesc Pira Poto Ptes Pldi Uleu			
			Estuarine Shrubland	<i>Plagianthus divaricatus</i> , "Saltmarsh ribbonwood"	Pldi			
			Tussockland	<i>Carex sp.</i> <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> , Red Tussock <i>Phormium tenax</i> , "New Zealand flax" <i>Poa cita</i> , "Silver tussock"	Casp Chru Phte Poci			
			Grassland	<i>Ammophila arenaria</i> , "Marram grass" <i>Festuca arundinacea</i> , "Tall fescue"	Amar Fear			
			Sedgeland	<i>Isolepis cernua</i> , "Slender clubrush"	Isce			
			Rushland	<i>Isolepis nodosa</i> , "Knobby clubrush" <i>Leptocarpus similis</i> , "Jointed wirerush"	Isno Lesi			
			Herbfield	<i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> , "Bachelor's button" <i>Disphyma australe</i> , "NZ Ice Plant" <i>Samolus repens</i> , "Primrose" <i>Sarcocornia quinqueflora</i> , "Glasswort" <i>Selliera radicans</i> , "Remuremu"	Coco Diau Sare Saqu Sera			
			Introduced weeds	Unidentified Introduced Weeds	Inwe			
					Seagrass meadow	Seagrass meadow	<i>Zostera sp.</i> , "Eelgrass"	Zosp
					Macroalgal bed	Macroalgal bed	<i>Gracilaria chilensis</i> <i>Ulva sp.</i> , "Sea lettuce"	Grch Ulri
		Artificial Structure	Boulder Field man-made Rock Wall man-made Sand Field man-made Bridge Wharf		BFmm RFmm SFmm BRG WHF			
		Mud/sandflat	Firm shell/sand Firm sand Soft sand Mobile sand Firm mud/sand Soft mud/sand Very soft mud/sand		FSS FS SS MS FMS SM VSM			
		Boulderfield Rockfield Stonefield  Shell bank Shellfish field  Worm field	Boulder field Rockfield Cobble field Gravel field Shell bank Cocklebed Musselreef Oysterreef Sabellid field		BF RF CF GF Shell Cockle Mussel Oyster Sabellid			
	Subtidal	Water	Water		Water			

### 3.3 Definitions of classification Level III Structural Class

- Forest:** Woody vegetation in which the cover of trees and shrubs in the canopy is >80% and in which tree cover exceeds that of shrubs. Trees are woody plants  $\geq 10$  cm dbh. Tree ferns  $\geq 10$  cm dbh are treated as trees.
- Treeland:** Cover of trees in canopy 20-80%. Trees are woody plants >10cm dbh.
- Scrub:** Woody vegetation in which the cover of shrubs and trees in the canopy is > 80% and in which shrub cover exceeds that of trees (c.f. FOREST). Shrubs are woody plants <10 cm diameter at breast height (dbh).
- Shrubland:** Cover of shrubs in canopy 20-80%. Shrubs are woody plants <10 cm diameter at breast height (dbh).
- Tussockland:** Vegetation in which the cover of tussock in the canopy is 20-100% and in which the tussock cover exceeds that of any other growth form or bare ground. Tussock includes all grasses, sedges, rushes, and other herbaceous plants with linear leaves (or linear non-woody stems) that are densely clumped and >100 cm height. Examples of the growth form occur in all species of Cortaderia, Gahnia, and Phormium, and in some species of Chionochloa, Poa, Festuca, Rytidosperma, Cyperus, Carex, Uncinia, Juncus, Astelia, Aciphylla, and Celmisia.
- Grassland:** Vegetation in which the cover of grass in the canopy is 20-100%, and in which the grass cover exceeds that of any other growth form or bare ground. Tussock-grasses are excluded from the grass growth-form.
- Sedgeland:** Vegetation in which the cover of sedges in the canopy is 20-100% and in which the sedge cover exceeds that of any other growth form or bare ground. "Sedges have edges." Sedges vary from grass by feeling the stem. If the stem is flat or rounded, it's probably a grass or a reed, if the stem is clearly triangular, it's a sedge. Sedges include many species of Carex, Uncinia, and Scirpus. Tussock-sedges and reed-forming sedges (c.f. REEDLAND) are excluded.
- Rushland:** Vegetation in which the cover of rushes in the canopy is 20-100% and in which the rush cover exceeds that of any other growth form or bare ground. A tall grasslike, often hollow-stemmed plant, included in the rush growth form are some species of Juncus and all species of, Leptocarpus. Tussock-rushes are excluded.
- Reedland:** Vegetation in which the cover of reeds in the canopy is 20-100% and in which the reed cover exceeds that of any other growth form or open water. If the reed is broken the stem is both round and hollow – somewhat like a soda straw. The flowers will each bear six tiny petal-like structures – neither grasses nor sedges will bear flowers, which look like that. Reeds are herbaceous plants growing in standing or slowly-running water that have tall, slender, erect, unbranched leaves or culms that are either hollow or have a very spongy pith. Examples include Typha, Bolboschoenus, Scirpus lacustris, Eleocharis sphacelata, and Baumea articulata.
- Cushionfield:** Vegetation in which the cover of cushion plants in the canopy is 20-100% and in which the cushion-plant cover exceeds that of any other growth form or bare ground. Cushion plants include herbaceous, semi-woody and woody plants with short densely packed branches and closely spaced leaves that together form dense hemispherical cushions.
- Herbfield:** Vegetation in which the cover of herbs in the canopy is 20-100% and in which the herb cover exceeds that of any other growth form or bare ground. Herbs include all herbaceous and low-growing semi-woody plants that are not separated as ferns, tussocks, grasses, sedges, rushes, reeds, cushion plants, mosses or lichens.
- Lichenfield:** Vegetation in which the cover of lichens in the canopy is 20-100% and in which the lichen cover exceeds that of any other growth form or bare ground.
- Seagrass meadows:** Seagrasses are the sole marine representatives of the Angiospermae. They all belong to the order Helobiae, in two families: Potamogetonaceae and Hydrocharitaceae. Although they may occasionally be exposed to the air, they are predominantly submerged, and their flowers are usually pollinated underwater. A notable feature of all seagrass plants is the extensive underground root/rhizome system which anchors them to their substrate. Seagrasses are commonly found in shallow coastal marine locations, salt-marshes and estuaries.
- Macroalgal bed:** Algae are relatively simple plants that live in freshwater or saltwater environments. In the marine environment, they are often called seaweeds. Although they contain chlorophyll, they differ from many other plants by their lack of vascular tissues (roots, stems, and leaves). Many familiar algae fall into three major divisions: Chlorophyta (green algae), Rhodophyta (red algae), and Phaeophyta (brown algae). Macroalgae are algae observable without using a microscope.
- Firm mud/sand:** A mixture of mud and sand, the surface appears brown, and many have a black anaerobic layer below. When walking on the substrate you'll sink 0-2 cm.
- Soft mud/sand:** A mixture of mud and sand, the surface appears brown, and many have a black anaerobic layer below. When walking on the substrate you'll sink 2-5 cm.
- Very soft mud/sand:** A mixture of mud and sand, the surface appears brown, and many have a black anaerobic layer below. When walking on the substrate you'll sink greater than 5 cm.
- Mobile sand:** The substrate is clearly recognised by the granular beach sand appearance and the often rippled surface layer. Mobile sand is continually being moved by strong tidal or wind-generated currents and often forms bars and beaches. When walking on the substrate you'll sink less than 1 cm.
- Firm sand:** Firm sand flats may be mud-like in appearance but are granular when rubbed between the fingers, and solid enough to support an adult's weight without sinking more than 1-2 cm. Firm sand may have a thin layer of silt on the surface making identification from a distance impossible.
- Soft sand:** Substrate containing greater than 99% sand. When walking on the substrate you'll sink greater than 2 cm.
- Stone field/Gravel field:** Land in which the area of unconsolidated gravel (2-20 mm diameter) and/or bare stones (20-200 mm diam.) exceeds the area covered by any one class of plant growth-form. Stonefields and gravelfields are named based on which form has the greater ground cover. They are named from the leading plant species when plant cover of  $\geq 1\%$ .
- Cobble field:** Land in which the area of unconsolidated cobbles/stones (20-200 mm diam.) exceeds the area covered by any one class of plant growth-form. Cobble fields are named from the leading plant species when plant cover of  $\geq 1\%$ .
- Boulder field:** Land in which the area of unconsolidated bare boulders (> 200mm diam.) exceeds the area covered by any one class of plant growth-form. Boulderfields are named from the leading plant species when plant cover is  $\geq 1\%$ .
- Rock/Rock field:** Land in which the area of residual bare rock exceeds the area covered by any one class of plant growth-form. Cliff vegetation often includes rocklands. They are named from the leading plant species when plant cover is  $\geq 1\%$ .
- Artificial structures:** Introduced natural or man-made materials that modify the environment. Includes rip-rap, rock walls, wharf piles, bridge supports, walkways, boat ramps, sand replenishment, groynes, flood control banks, stopgates.
- Cockle bed:** Area that is dominated by primarily dead cockle shells.
- Mussel reef:** Area that is dominated by one or more mussel species.
- Oyster reef:** Area that is dominated by one or more oysters species.
- Sabellid field:** Area that is dominated by raised beds of sabellid polychaete tubes.

#### 4. RESULTS

The results of the broad-scale survey of intertidal habitat within Awarua Bay are summarised in Figure 1 and Table 2, with additional detail presented in Tables 3 and 4, and graphically in Figures 2 and 4. Figure 3 provides the legend and species codes for the dominant habitats in Figure 4. In total, 2972 Ha of estuary was mapped (Table 2), including 235 Ha of terrestrial vegetation present around the margins of the estuary. Within the estuary itself, 2131 Ha of intertidal habitat was mapped, along with 606 Ha of subtidal habitat (represented as water). Intertidal habitat consisted of 1334 Ha of unvegetated substrata (63% of the intertidal area) with 797 Ha (37%) of the estuary having vegetation as the dominant cover.




**Figure 1** The area of structural class habitats (based on dominant cover) in Awarua Bay.


**Table 2** The area (Ha and %) of major habitats within Awarua Bay, April 2004.

<b>OVERALL SUMMARY - Awarua Bay</b>	<b>Area (Ha)</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
Water (subtidal)	605.8	20.4
Unvegetated Substratum	1333.9	44.9
Estuarine Vegetation	797.2	26.8
Terrestrial Vegetation	234.9	7.9
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2971.9</b>	





**Figure 3** Colour legend and species codes for the habitat and substrate complexes identified in Awarua Bay (refer to Figure 4).



**Figure 4** Detail of the dominant habitat cover within the Awarua Bay, April 2004 (legend in Figure 3).

Within the unvegetated area of the estuary, 951 Ha (71%) was classified as firm muddy sand, with 372 Ha (28%) of gravel field (Table 3). The remaining 11 Ha (1%) was largely cobble (9 Ha), with only very small amounts of shell bank and soft mud (<1 Ha each). Other than its large size, the most notable feature of Awarua Bay is the lack of mud. This is likely to reflect the absence of significant rivers or streams entering the Bay and the consequent low terrestrial sediment inputs.

**Table 3** Areas of dominant unvegetated substrata, and primary subdominant cover in Awarua Bay, April 2004.

UNVEGETATED SUBSTRATA			Area	% of
Class	Dominant substrata	Primary Sub-dominant	(Ha)	Total
<b>Bridge</b>			<b>1.14</b>	<b>0.1</b>
<b>Cobble field</b>			<b>8.59</b>	<b>0.6</b>
	Cobble field		7.71	0.6
		Gravel field	0.88	0.1
<b>Gravel field</b>			<b>371.84</b>	<b>27.9</b>
	Gravel field		342.42	25.7
		Cobble field	17.72	1.3
		Firm mud/sand (0-2cm)	10.73	0.8
		Gracilaria chilensis (Agar-agar)	0.23	0.0
		Samolus repens (Primrose)	0.21	0.0
		Selliera radicans (Remuremu)	0.55	0.0
<b>Shell bank</b>			<b>0.80</b>	<b>0.1</b>
<b>Firm mud/sand</b>			<b>950.77</b>	<b>71.3</b>
	Firm mud/sand (0-2cm)		920.63	69.0
		Gravel field	20.63	1.5
		Zostera sp (Eelgrass)	9.51	0.7
<b>Soft mud/sand</b>			<b>0.81</b>	<b>0.1</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>1333.9</b>	

Where vegetation was the dominant cover (Table 4), seagrass meadows (*Zostera* – eelgrass) were by far the most dominant, covering 600 Ha (75%). Beds were largest near the head of the Bay and were present mostly in lower intertidal areas. Two rushland species were the next most common dominant habitat, covering 93 Ha, namely *Leptocarpus similis* (Jointed wirerush) (75 Ha) and *Isolepis nodosa* (Knobby clubrush) (19 Ha). Rushland was located predominantly in the upper tidal reaches of the estuary, and *Leptocarpus similis* often included the estuarine shrub *Plagianthus divaricatus* (Saltmarsh ribbonwood) as the primary subdominant species. Ribbonwood itself was the next most common habitat type, with 46 Ha classified as estuarine shrubland. This species is also a significant component of the terrestrial vegetation outside of the estuary margins (Table 5).

Relatively large macroalgal beds (*Gracilaria chilensis*) were also present (31.4 Ha), as were herbfields (23.5 Ha), while Grassland, comprising tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) and marram

grass (*Ammophila arenaria*), was only dominant in very small areas (0.7 Ha). Tussockland was not recorded as a dominant cover in the estuarine areas, although extensive plantings of flax (*Phormium tenax*) and red and silver tussock (*Chionochloa rubra*, *Poa cita*) were present as subdominant cover.

**Table 4** Area of dominant estuarine vegetation within Awarua Bay, April 2004.

ESTUARINE VEGETATION			Area	% of
Class	Dominant species	Primary Sub-dominant	(Ha)	Total
<b>Estuarine Shrubland</b>			<b>45.55</b>	<b>5.7</b>
	Plagianthus divaricatus (Saltmarsh ribbonwood)		0.17	0.0
		Chionochloa rubra (Red Tussock)	40.32	5.1
		Isolepis nodosa (Knobby clubrush)	2.23	0.3
		Phormium tenax (New Zealand flax)	1.29	0.2
		Gaultheria sp. (Snowberry)	1.45	0.2
		Leptocarpus similis (Jointed wirerush)	0.08	0.0
<b>Grassland</b>			<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.1</b>
	Ammophila arenaria (Marram grass)	Isolepis nodosa (Knobby clubrush)	0.55	0.1
	Festuca arundinacea (Tall fescue)	Carex spp. (Sedge)	0.16	0.0
<b>Rushland</b>			<b>93.47</b>	<b>11.7</b>
	Isolepis nodosa (Knobby clubrush)		0.82	0.1
		Chionochloa rubra (Red Tussock)	5.03	0.6
		Poa cita (Silver tussock)	1.67	0.2
		Selliera radicans (Remuremu)	0.28	0.0
		Plagianthus divaricatus (Saltmarsh ribbonwood)	8.16	1.0
		Unidentified introduced weeds	1.34	0.2
		Pteridium esculentum (Bracken fern)	0.59	0.1
		Festuca arundinacea (Tall fescue)	0.46	0.1
		Ammophila arenaria (Marram grass)	0.21	0.0
	Leptocarpus similis (Jointed wirerush)		17.67	2.2
		Isolepis nodosa (Knobby clubrush)	0.85	0.1
		Poa cita (Silver tussock)	0.98	0.1
		Samolus repens (Primrose)	0.70	0.1
		Phormium tenax (New Zealand flax)	0.18	0.0
		Plagianthus divaricatus (Saltmarsh ribbonwood)	54.55	6.8
<b>Herbfield</b>			<b>23.45</b>	<b>2.9</b>
	Cotula coronopifolia (Bachelor's button)	Poa cita (Silver tussock)	1.69	0.2
	Samolus repens (Primrose)		0.72	0.1
		Isolepis nodosa (Knobby clubrush)	0.10	0.0
		Selliera radicans (Remuremu)	12.56	1.6
	Sarcocornia quinqueflora (Glasswort)		0.03	0.0
		Isolepis nodosa (Knobby clubrush)	0.41	0.1
		Samolus repens (Primrose)	1.08	0.1
		Selliera radicans (Remuremu)	0.22	0.0
		Isolepis cernua (Slender clubrush)	0.65	0.1
	Selliera radicans (Remuremu)		5.41	0.7
		Samolus repens (Primrose)	0.01	0.0
		Isolepis cernua (Slender clubrush)	0.01	0.0
		Sarcocornia quinqueflora (Glasswort)	0.55	0.1
<b>Introduced Weeds</b>			<b>2.51</b>	<b>0.3</b>
	Unidentified introduced weeds		0.07	0.0
		Chionochloa rubra (Red Tussock)	1.82	0.2
		Isolepis nodosa (Knobby clubrush)	0.26	0.0
		Poa cita (Silver tussock)	0.36	0.0
<b>Seagrass Meadow</b>			<b>600.18</b>	<b>75.3</b>
	Zostera sp (Eelgrass)		49.68	6.2
		Firm mud/sand (0-2cm)	550.25	69.0
		Gracilaria chilensis (Agar-agar)	0.24	0.0
<b>Macroalgal Bed</b>			<b>31.35</b>	<b>3.9</b>
	Gracilaria chilensis (Agar-agar)		15.28	1.9
		Gravel field	15.29	1.9
		Ulva sp (Sea lettuce)	0.77	0.1
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>797.2</b>	

In addition to the intertidal mapping, 235 Ha of terrestrial vegetation was mapped to indicate the presence of shrub, scrub and forest cover along the riparian margin of the estuary. However, no consistent boundary has been applied to the mapping of terrestrial areas (*e.g.* catchment boundaries), and mapping has not included other vegetation present such as pasture. Therefore terrestrial estimates shown in Table 5 should not be directly compared to the intertidal mapping results.

**Table 5** Areas of dominant terrestrial vegetation mapped adjacent to Awarua Bay, April 2004.

TERRESTRIAL VEGETATION			Area	% of
Class	Dominant species	Primary Sub-dominant	(Ha)	Total
<b>Terrestrial Shrub/Scrub/Forest</b>			<b>163.88</b>	<b>69.8</b>
	Gaultheria sp.(Snowberry)	Phormium tenax (New Zealand flax)	2.49	1.1
	Leptospermum scoparium (Manuka)	Chionochloa rubra (Red Tussock)	34.72	14.8
		Phormium tenax (New Zealand flax)	8.46	3.6
		Plagianthus divaricatus (Saltmarsh ribbonwood)	46.98	20.0
		Ulex europaeus (Gorse)	8.88	3.8
	Pinus radiata (Pine tree)	Ulex europaeus (Gorse)	0.50	0.2
		Phormium tenax (New Zealand flax)	0.63	0.3
		Ulex europaeus (Gorse)	0.56	0.2
	Plagianthus divaricatus (Saltmarsh ribbonwood)	Phormium tenax (New Zealand flax)	46.34	19.7
	Podocarpus totara (Totara)		4.83	2.1
		Gaultheria sp.(Snowberry)	1.31	0.6
		Phormium tenax (New Zealand flax)	2.62	1.1
	Pteridium esculentum (Bracken fern)	Leptospermum scoparium (Manuka)	0.59	0.2
		Phormium tenax (New Zealand flax)	0.85	0.4
		Unidentified introduced weeds	0.26	0.1
	Ulex europaeus (Gorse)		3.63	1.5
		Unidentified introduced weeds	0.24	0.1
<b>Tussockland</b>			<b>70.99</b>	<b>30.2</b>
	Chionochloa rubra (Red Tussock)	Isolepis nodosa (Knobby clubrush)	1.09	0.5
		Leptospermum scoparium (Manuka)	2.18	0.9
		Phormium tenax (New Zealand flax)	4.31	1.8
		Plagianthus divaricatus (Saltmarsh ribbonwood)	0.57	0.2
		Poa cita (Silver tussock)	18.10	7.7
		Unidentified introduced weeds	0.48	0.2
	Phormium tenax (New Zealand flax)	Unidentified introduced weeds	0.49	0.2
		Chionochloa rubra (Red Tussock)	7.95	3.4
		Isolepis nodosa (Knobby clubrush)	1.09	0.5
		Leptocarpus similis (Jointed wirerush)	1.12	0.5
		Leptospermum scoparium (Manuka)	1.30	0.6
		Plagianthus divaricatus (Saltmarsh ribbonwood)	17.39	7.4
		Pteridium esculentum (Bracken fern)	1.74	0.7
	Poa cita (Silver tussock)		0.21	0.1
		Chionochloa rubra (Red Tussock)	0.70	0.3
		Disphyma australe (NZ Ice Plant, Horokaka)	2.50	1.1
		Festuca arundinacea (Tall fescue)	4.00	1.7
		Isolepis nodosa (Knobby clubrush)	4.91	2.1
		Phormium tenax (New Zealand flax)	0.32	0.1
		Plagianthus divaricatus (Saltmarsh ribbonwood)	0.55	0.2
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>234.9</b>	

The terrestrial shrub/scrub/forest was dominated by manuka *Leptospermum scoparium* (99.5 Ha, 60.7%) and saltmarsh ribbonwood *Plagianthus divaricatus* (46.3 Ha, 28.3%). Tussockland was relatively evenly divided between New Zealand flax *Phormium tenax* (30.6 Ha, 43%) and red tussock *Chionochloa rubra* (27.2 Ha, 38.3%) with the remaining cover of silver tussock *Poa cita*. Saltmarsh ribbonwood was the primary subdominant species for 36.0 Ha (50.8%) of the tussockland.

Full details on the vegetation and substrates present, from which the broad scale figures and tables are derived, are included on the accompanying CD-ROM, “*Broad Scale Intertidal Habitat Mapping: Awarua Bay*”.

## 5. OVERVIEW

Other than its large size, the most notable feature of Awarua Bay is the lack of mud. This is likely to reflect the absence of a river entering the Bay, and the consequent low level of sediment inputs. The broad scale habitat characterisation of Awarua Bay identified the following features:

- A large firm sand and gravel estuary with very little mud
- An extensive area of seagrass meadow (*Zostera* - eelgrass) in the head of the Bay
- An area in the northeast where there is a relatively undisturbed transition from estuary vegetation to terrestrial vegetation
- Good examples of herbfield vegetation around a centrally located gravel peninsula
- The relatively large fetch along the open reaches of the Bay are likely generate waves that may limit the growth of some plants, or cause regular disturbance.

## 6. COMBINED SUMMARY OF BLUFF HARBOUR AND AWARUA BAY

Bluff Harbour and Awarua Bay are two parts of a single harbour system. They have been separated for the purposes of reporting primarily to make data presentation more manageable. However, the two parts of the harbour also have physical differences which may require different management approaches. Broad scale habitat mapping of Bluff Harbour was undertaken at the same time as Awarua Bay (April 2004) and the results are presented in Robertson *et al* (2004b).

Table 6 summarises the combined results for Bluff Harbour and Awarua Bay, and shows the major differences between the two areas.

**Table 6** Summary of combined results for Bluff Harbour and Awarua Bay, April 2004.

OVERALL SUMMARY	Bluff Harbour			Awarua Bay			Bluff/Awarua Combined	
	Area		% of combined Bluff/Awarua	Area		% of combined Bluff/Awarua	Area	
	Ha	%		Ha	%		Ha	%
Water (subtidal)	1656.1	52.9	27.1	605.8	20.4	9.9	2261.9	37.1
Unvegetated Substratum	1194.8	38.2	19.6	1333.9	44.9	21.9	2528.7	41.4
Estuarine Vegetation	237.6	7.6	3.9	797.2	26.8	13.1	1034.8	17.0
Terrestrial Vegetation	41.8	1.3	0.7	234.9	7.9	3.8	276.7	4.5
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>3130.2</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>51.3</b>	<b>2971.9</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>48.7</b>	<b>6102.1</b>	<b>100</b>

Overall, about 37% of the combined system is subtidal, 41% unvegetated, 17% estuarine vegetation, and 5% terrestrial vegetation. When compared directly to Bluff Harbour, Awarua Bay has approximately three times more estuarine vegetation, a similar amount of unvegetated substratum, and about three times less subtidal habitat. Although the areas of terrestrial vegetation do not provide an accurate indication of cover, Awarua Bay also has much more terrestrial vegetation around the margins than Bluff Harbour.

## 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Les McGraw (Environment Southland) for producing and rectifying the aerial photographs, and Michelle White (Environment Southland) for her help with the ground-truthing of the habitat features. Ben Robertson (Cawthron) digitised many of the shape files, and Paul Barter (Cawthron) provided invaluable assistance with the GIS components of the work.

## 8. REFERENCES

- Atkinson, I.A.E. 1985. Derivation of vegetation mapping units for an ecological survey of Tongariro National Park North Island, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Botany* 23: 361-378
- Ministry for the Environment. 2001. Environmental performance indicators: Confirmed indicators for the marine environment. ME No. 398, Ministry for the Environment, Wellington. 65p.
- Robertson, B.M.; Gillespie, P.A.; Asher, R.A.; Frisk, S.; Keeley, N.B.; Hopkins, G.A.; Thompson, S.J.; Tuckey, B.J. 2002. *Estuarine Environmental Assessment and Monitoring: A National Protocol*. Part A. Development, Part B. Appendices, and Part C. Application. Prepared for supporting Councils and the Ministry for the Environment, Sustainable Management Fund Contract No. 5096. Part A. 93p. Part B. 159p. Part C. 40p plus field sheets.
- Robertson, B.M.; Stevens, L.M.; Thompson, S.J.; Robertson, B. 2004a. Broad Scale Intertidal Habitat Mapping of Waikawa Estuary. *Cawthron Report No. 939*. Prepared for Environment Southland. 13p.
- Robertson, B.M.; Stevens, L.M.; Thompson, S.J.; Robertson, B. 2004b. Broad Scale Intertidal Habitat Mapping of Bluff Harbour. *Cawthron Report No. 940*. Prepared for Environment Southland. 14p.
- Stevens, L. and Clarke, M. 2004. Broad Scale Mapping of Subtidal Habitat and Ecology of Bluff Harbour, 2004. Prepared for Environment Southland. *Cawthron Report No. 916*. Prepared for Environment Southland. 38p.