

BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT

ENV-2018-CHC-000029

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of an Appeal under clause 14 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to the proposed Southland Water and Land Plan

BETWEEN **ARATIATIA LIVESTOCK LIMITED**

Appellant

AND **SOUTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL**

Respondent

NOTICE OF REQUEST TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS UNDER SECTION 274 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

STONEY CREEK STATION LIMITED

Dated this *22* day of June 2018

PRESENTED FOR FILING BY:

Counsel for the Appellant

Clare Lenihan

Barrister

102 Jed Street

INVERCARGILL 9810

Tel: (03) 214 1674

E: clare.lenihan@environmentallawyer.co.nz

Instructing Counsel

Jeff Walker

Walker Murdoch Law Ltd

PO Box 1188

INVERCARGILL 9840

Tel: (03) 214 0777

E: jeff@wmlaw.co.nz

**NOTICE OF REQUEST TO BE A PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS UNDER S274 OF THE RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT ACT BY STONEY CREEK STATION LIMITED**

1. Stoney Creek Station Limited (“**Stoney Creek**”) wishes to be a party to Notice of Appeal ENV-2018-CHC-000029 dated 16 May 2018 by Aratiatia Livestock Limited to the Environment Court (“**the Appeal**”) against the Decision of the Southland Regional Council on the Proposed Southland Water and Land Plan.

2. Stoney Creek is entitled to be a party to the Appeal because:-
 - (a) It lodged a submission and Notice of Appeal ENV-2018-CHC-000042 dated 17 May 2018 (“**Stoney Creek Appeal**”) which seeks relief on matters addressed in the Appeal.

 - (b) It owns and farms land on Otamita, Eastern Southland, the management of which will be directly affected by the relief sought in the Appeal.

3. Stoney Creek is not a trade competitor for the purposes of s308C or s308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.

4. Stoney Creek is interested in the part(s) of the Appeal that relates to **Rule 20 Farming**, in particular:
 - a. The requirement for supplementary feed in portable feeders, Rule 20(a)(iii)(3)(D); and
 - b. The restriction on mob (herd) size, Rule 20(a)(iii)(3)(E).

5. Stoney Creek supports the relief sought in the Appeal regarding Rules 20(a)(iii)(3)(D)&(E), to the extent that it is consistent with the relief in the Stoney Creek Appeal, for the following reasons:-
 - (a) Portable feeders concentrate stock in one area, which is counterproductive and can raise animal welfare issues;

 - (b) The grounds set out in the Appeal;

 - (c) The grounds set out in the Stoney Creek Appeal.

6. Stoney Creek agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Signed for and on behalf of Stoney Creek Station Limited:-



Clare Lenihan
Counsel

Dated this 22 day of June 2018

Address for service of s274 party:

The offices of Clare Lenihan

Barrister

102 Jed Street

Invercargill 9810

Tel: (03) 214 1674

E: clare.lenihan@environmentallawyer.co.nz