

IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT  
AT CHRISTCHURCH  
I MUA I TE KOOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA  
ŌTAUTAHI ROHE

ENV-2018-CHC-040

**IN THE MATTER** of an appeal under Clause 14(1) of  
the First Schedule of the Resource  
Management Act 1991

**BETWEEN** **FEDERATED FARMERS OF NZ**  
*Appellant*

**AND** **SOUTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL**  
*Respondent*

---

**NOTICE OF INTENTION BY ROYAL FOREST AND BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY  
OF NEW ZEALAND INCORPORATED TO BE A PARTY**

**Dated 22 JUNE 2018**

---

---

**Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc**  
Solicitor acting: Sally Gepp  
PO Box 266  
Nelson 7040  
Phone +64 21 558 241  
s.gepp@forestandbird.org.nz

**To**

The Registrar  
Environment Court  
Christchurch

1. The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated (“Forest & Bird”) wishes to be a party to the following proceedings:  
  
ENV-2015-AKL-040 Federated Farmers of NZ v Southland Regional Council
2. Forest & Bird made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.
3. Forest & Bird is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.
4. Forest & Bird is interested in all of the proceedings except Objective 10 and Policy 1.
5. Forest & Bird supports the relief sought in relation to Objective 9B, policy 16A, Policy 17A. Forest & Bird supports that relief on the basis that Objective 9B inappropriately enables infrastructure, and that Policies 16A and 17A should be more directive in requiring that adverse effects of discharges from industrial or trade processes/community sewerage schemes are avoided.
6. Forest & Bird opposes the relief sought in relation to Policies 4 – 12, Policy 18, policy 26, policy 39, policy 40(2), Rule 13, Rule 20, Rule 25, Rule 32B, Rule 35, Rule 35A, Rule 49, Rule 52A, Rule 73, Rule 79 and Appendix A. The appellant seeks changes to those provisions which would make them less stringent, and would not be consistent with maintaining or enhancing water quality and ensuring that ecosystems are safeguarded. In relation to Rule 79, Forest & Bird does not accept

that Fire Service control is sufficient to control high country burning and opposes deletion of Rule 79.

7. Forest & Bird agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.



---

Sally Gepp  
Solicitor  
Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated

Date 22 June 2018

Address for service of person wishing to be a party:  
Telephone: 021 558 241  
Email: [s.gepp@forestandbird.org.nz](mailto:s.gepp@forestandbird.org.nz)  
Post: PO Box 266, Nelson 7040  
Contact person: Sally Gepp