

**BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT  
AT CHRISTCHURCH**

**ENV - 2018 - CHC - 000047**

**UNDER** the Resource Management Act 1991

**A N D**

**IN THE MATTER** of an appeal under cl 14(1) of Schedule 1 to the Act

**BETWEEN** **TE RUNANGA O NGAI TAHU & OTHERS**

**Appellants**

**A N D** **SOUTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL**

**Respondent**

---

**NOTICE OF INTEREST UNDER SECTION 274  
ON BEHALF OF DAIRYNZ LTD**

---

---

**Solicitor acting:**

Sarah Watson  
Duncan Cotterill  
148 Victoria Street  
P O Box 5  
Christchurch 8140  
Email: sarah.watson@duncancotterill.com

**Counsel instructed:**

Bal Matheson  
Richmond Chambers  
33 Shortland Street  
PO Box 1008  
Auckland 1140

Email: matheson@richmondchambers.co.nz

**TO: THE REGISTRAR  
ENVIRONMENT COURT  
CHRISTCHURCH**

**DAIRYNZ LTD (DairyNZ) wishes to be a party to the appeal by Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu & others (Appeal).**

1. DairyNZ made a submission and further submission about the subject matter of the Appeal.
2. DairyNZ is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.
3. Those parts of the Appeal in which DairyNZ is interested, whether it supports or oppose those parts of the Appeal, and associated reasons, is described in Schedule 1.
4. DairyNZ agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the Appeal.

**DAIRYNZ LTD by its counsel:**



**Signature:**

**SJ Watson/BJ Matheson**

**Date:**

**22 June 2018**

**Address for Service:**

**Bal Matheson  
Richmond Chambers  
PO Box 1008  
Shortland Street  
Auckland 1140**

**Telephone:**

**(09) 600 5510**

**Email:**

**matheson@richmondchambers.co.nz**

**TO:**

**Registrar, Environment Court,  
Christchurch**

**AND TO:**

**Appellant**

**AND TO:**

**All Parties**

**Advice to recipients of copy of notice of interest**

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch.

**SCHEDULE 1 - DESCRIPTION OF PROCEEDINGS, EXTENT OF INTEREST, SUPPORT/OPOSE, AND ASSOCIATED REASONS**

Section of Plan		Extent of Interest	Support/Opose Change	Reasons
General		Provision to be changed		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>General water quality provisions that seek to maintain and improve - various</li> <li>General Physiographic provisions - various</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Oppose</li> <li>Oppose</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Maintaining and improving water quality is supported but the appropriate baseline date for determining maintenance and improvement is the operative date for this plan (not January 2010).</li> <li>The use of physiographic zones is supported but these are more appropriately used in the context of policies rather than rules.</li> </ul>
Water Policies	Quantity	Policy 17(1)	Oppose	Policy 17 appropriately provides specific guidance on the management of collected animal effluent and provides the foundation to the effluent management rules.
		Policy 20 1(A)	Oppose	
		Policy 25	Oppose	It is appropriate that priority is assigned to 'industries that process perishable foods', given food safety requirements and the importance to human health.
Discharge rules		Rule 5 (a)(3)	Support	It is inequitable to apply a discretionary activity status to discharges of raw effluent to surface waterbodies by territorial authorities, whilst applying a non-complying consent status to all other discharges of raw effluent to surface waterbodies.
Land use rules		New Rule 20	Oppose	Physiographic risk will still need to be identified and managed under the conditions of this PA rule. For example, via the preparation and implementation of FEMPs
Appendices		Appendix N	Oppose	The protection of taonga species is supported but the implications for Farm Environment Plans is uncertain as the range of expected Good Management Practices is not specified.