BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT I MUA I TE KOOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA

UNDER the Resource Management Act 1991

IN THE MATTER of appeals under Clause 14 of the First Schedule of the

Act

BETWEEN TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

(ENV-2018-CHC-26)

FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP

(ENV-2018-CHC-27)

HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND

(ENV-2018-CHC-28)

ARATIATIA LIVESTOCK LIMITED

(ENV-2018-CHC-29)

WILKINS FARMING CO (ENV-2018-CHC-30)

(Continued next page)

MEMORANDUM OF COUNSEL FOR SOUTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL 17 July 2018

Judicial Officer: Judge Hassan

WYNN WILLIAMS LAWYERS CHRISTCHURCH

Solicitor: P A C Maw (philip.maw@wynnwilliams.co.nz)

Respondent's Solicitor Level 5, Wynn Williams House, 47 Hereford Street, P O Box 4341, DX WX11179, CHRISTCHURCH 8140 Tel 0064 3 3797622 Fax 0064 3 3792467

GORE DISTRICT COUNCIL, SOUTHLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL & INVERCARGILL DISTRICT COUNCIL

(ENV-2018-CHC-31)

DAIRYNZ LIMITED

(ENV-2018-CHC-32)

HWRICHARDSON GROUP

(ENV-2018-CHC-33)

BEEF + LAMB NEW ZEALAND

(ENV-2018-CHC-34 & 35)

DIRECTOR-GENERAL OF CONSERVATION

(ENV-2018-CHC-36)

SOUTHLAND FISH AND GAME COUNCIL

(ENV-2018-CHC-37)

MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED

(ENV-2018-CHC-38)

ALLIANCE GROUP LIMITED

(ENV-2018-CHC-39)

FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND

(ENV-2018-CHC-40)

HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA

(ENV-2018-CHC-41)

STONEY CREEK STATION LIMITED

(ENV-2018-CHC-42)

THE TERRACES LIMITED

(ENV-2018-CHC-43)

CAMPBELL'S BLOCK LIMITED

(ENV-2018-CHC-44)

ROBERT GRANT

(ENV-2018-CHC-45)

SOUTHWOOD EXPORT LIMITED, SOUTHLAND PLANTATION FOREST COMPANY OF NZ, SOUTHWOOD EXPORT LIMITED

(ENV-2018-CHC-46)

TE RUNANGA O NGAI TAHU, HOKONUI RUNAKA, WAIHOPAI RUNAKA, TE RUNANGA O AWARUA & TE RUNANGA O ORAKA APARIMA

(ENV-2018-CHC-47)

PETER CHARTRES

(ENV-2018-CHC-48)

RAYONIER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

(ENV-2018-CHC-49)

ROYAL FOREST AND BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY

OF NEW ZEALAND (ENV-2018-CHC-50)

Appellants

AND SOUTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL

Respondent

MAY IT PLEASE THE COURT

- This Memorandum of Counsel is filed on behalf of the Southland Regional Council (**Council**) in respect of the appeals against the Council's decision on the proposed Southland Water and Land Plan (**pSWLP**).
- 2 This Memorandum addresses the following matters:
 - (a) Attendance at mediation;
 - (b) Estimated time required for mediation;
 - (c) Amendments to Appendix A of the Memorandum of Counsel for the Southland Regional Council dated 29 June 2018; and
 - (d) Further jurisdictional issues in respect of the section 274 notices filed by the Waiau Rivercare Group and the Waiau River Liaison Committee.

Attendance at mediation

- There will be up to four people in attendance at mediation for the Southland Regional Council, depending on the topic. This will consist of the following persons:
 - (a) Southland Regional Council staff with delegated authority in respect of the mediations (Lucy Hicks or Vin Smith);
 - (b) An expert planning consultant (Matthew McCallum-Clark or Anita Dawe);
 - (c) A technical expert (Southland Regional Council staff or consultants) – as required; and
 - (d) Legal counsel as required.

Estimated time for mediation

- The estimated time required for each of the mediation topics (as proposed in the Memorandum of Counsel on behalf of the Southland Regional Council dated 29 June 2018) is as follows:
 - (a) General provisions 2 days;
 - (b) Water Take / Use 2 days;

- (c) Wetlands / Indigenous Biodiversity 2 days;
- (d) Infrastructure 4 days;
- (e) Water Quality 2 days;
- (f) Discharges 3 days;
- (g) Cultural / FMUs / Other 2 days;
- (h) Bed Disturbance 2 days;
- (i) Physiographic Policies 2 days;
- (j) Farming 4 days;
- (k) Cultivation 2 days;
- (I) Wastewater 2 days; and
- (m) Agricultural Effluent 2 days.

Amendments to Appendix A

- After filing the Memorandum of Counsel for the Southland Regional Council dated 29 June 2018, there are several amendments that Counsel considers should be made to the information set out in Appendix A of that Memorandum.
- Appendix A contained a table identifying the proposed topic groupings for the appeals, along with which of the appeals are on the particular provisions (e.g. objectives, policies, rules) relate to each topic.
- 7 Counsel considers that the following amendments should be made to Appendix A:
 - (a) Objectives 13, 13A and 13B should be removed from the General topic and added to the Discharge topic, as they are more appropriately analysed as part of the Discharge topic.
 - (b) Federated Farmers should be added to the list of Appellants on Policy 16A (which is contained in the Discharges topic), as they were inadvertently left off this provision.
 - (c) Rule 35 should be removed from the Wastewater topic and added to the Agricultural Effluent topic, as it relates to the discharge of agricultural effluent to land and should be considered as part of the Agricultural Effluent topic.

A revised version of **Appendix A**, with the above changes set out in tracking for ease of reference, is annexed to this Memorandum.

Further preliminary or jurisdictional issues

- As set out at paragraph 19 of the Memorandum of Counsel for the Southland Regional Council dated 29 June 2018, Counsel noted that any further jurisdictional issues in respect of section 274 notices that were served on the Council would be raised in a subsequent memorandum.
- Two further jurisdictional issues have been brought to the Council's attention by various Appellants. On review, Counsel considers that it appears there may be two further jurisdictional issues relating to the following parties:
 - (a) Waiau Rivercare Group; and
 - (b) Waiau River Liaison Committee.
- Waiau Rivercare Group and Waiau River Liaison Committee have both lodged section 274 Notices in respect of the following appeals on the pSWLP:
 - (a) Meridian Energy Limited;
 - (b) Aratiatia Livestock Limited;
 - (c) Te Runanga o Ngāi Tahu;
 - (d) Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand; and
 - (e) Federated Farmers of New Zealand.
- 12 Under section 274 of the RMA, the following persons may be a party to any proceedings before the Environment Court (relevantly):

. . .

(d) a person who has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has...

. . .

- (e) a person who made a submission to which the following apply:
 - (i) it was made about the subject matter of the proceedings...

Waiau Rivercare Group

- The Waiau Rivercare Group did not lodge a submission on the pSWLP.

 As a result, the Waiau Rivercare Group is now seeking to join the above appeals on the basis that they are a person who has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has.
- The Waiau Rivercare Group's section 274 Notice states that they have an interest greater than the general public because it "comprises a cross-section of our community (urban and rural)" and has letters of support from several members of the community.
- As was previously raised in the Memorandum of Counsel dated 29 June 2018 (in relation to the section 274 Notice of Mr Owen Buckingham) the previous approach of the Court in relation to this determination is that the interest that qualifies a person to participate in a proceeding must be one of "some advantage or disadvantage, such as that arising from a right in property directly affected and which is not remote."
- 16 Further decisions have confirmed that the remoteness issue relates to legal remoteness rather than geographical,² the circumstances providing an interest in the proceedings greater than the public generally are not restricted solely to property rights, and that it is not a closed or prescribed class.³
- 17 The approach of the Court has been that an interest in the preservation of a particular environment is not sufficient to establish an interest in the proceedings greater than that of the general public.⁴
- 18 It has further been established that being a representative group of the general public does not automatically result in a greater interest. In

¹ Purification Technologies Limited v Taupo District Council [1995] NZRMA 197 at 204, more recently stated in Wallace Group Limited v Auckland Council [2017] NZEnvC 106 at [23].

² Powerco Limited v Thames-Coromandel District Council [2017] NZEnvC 67, at [28].

³ Meadow 3 Limited v Queenstown Lakes District Council EnvC C001/08, 15 January 2008.

⁴ Purification Technologies Limited v Taupo District Council [1995] NZRMA 197 at 204.

Mangawhai Heads Holdings Limited v Kaipara District Council, the Court relevantly stated:5

[13] However, the fact that MRRA is representative of a subset of the general public on issues of concern to them, and a community group does not automatically result in it having an interest "greater than the interest that the general public has". What is required is that MRRA can establish that it has some advantage or disadvantage that is not remote.

[14] Ultimately, the constitution of MRRA does not indicate that it has a specific interest in Resource Management issues. It is a community group with a broad and general purpose. There is nothing in the constitution to establish that it will experience some advantage or disadvantage that is not remote. It cannot be said that it has a greater interest than any other member of the wider community.

- 19 Although more recent caselaw has suggested that an interest that is more specific than that of the general public may go towards establishing a qualifying interest, this has generally been in the context of a particular street or neighbourhood rather than an environment such as a river. 6 The section 274 Notice does not appear to indicate the specific area that the group is interested in, and the purpose of the group is not made clear.
- 20 In line with the above caselaw, on the face of their section 274 Notices, the Waiau Rivercare Group does not appear to meet the test to join the above listed appeals, as set out in section 274 of the RMA.

Waiau River Liaison Committee

21 The Waiau River Liaison Committee did lodge a submission on the pSWLP, as a signatory to the submission by the Southland River Liaison Committees. However, this submission did not submit on the parts of the pSWLP that the Waiau River Liaison Committee is now seeking to participate in.

⁵ Mangawhai Heads Holdings Ltd v Kaipara District Council [2011] NZEnvC 203, at [13]-

⁶ Lindsay v Dunedin City Council [2013] NZEnvC 8; Trustees of the Neville Crawford Family Trust v Far North District Council [2013] NZEnvC 141.

- As a result, the Waiau River Liaison Committee is seeking to join the above appeals on the basis that they are a person who has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has.
- The Waiau River Liaison Committee's section 274 Notice states that they have an interest greater than the general public because "it is a Committee established by the Regional Council, comprised of rate payers adjacent to the Waiau River and its Tributaries."
- As has been previously raised in relation to Mr Owen Buckingham, the Environment Court has confirmed that the "mere fact of owning land in a district" is not a sufficient interest for the purposes of section 274. In Federated Farmers v Hastings District Council, the Environment Court relevantly stated:⁷

For the same reasons that allowing any ratepayer to claim an interest greater than the interest that the general public has is flawed reasoning, I simply cannot see that the mere fact of owning land in a district is sufficient interest to give a landowner the right to join an Environment Court proceeding under section 274(1)(d).

This position has been further clarified by the Environment Court in Wallace Group Limited v Auckland Council.⁸ This case stated that:⁹

It is the relationship between the interest and the consequent effect of the proceedings on the interest, rather than the actual interest itself, which is important. Picking up once again on the key theme of "some advantage or disadvantage": such must be direct and not just emotional or intellectual.

As discussed above in relation to the Waiau Rivercare Group, being a representative group does not automatically qualify a group as having an interest greater than the general public has.¹⁰

⁹ Wallace Group Limited v Auckland Council [2017] NZEnvC 106 at [25], citing Remarkables Park Ltd v Queenstown-Lakes District Council EnvC C26/2005.

⁷ Federated Farmers of New Zealand Hawkes Bay Province v Hastings District Council [2016] NZEnvC 141, at [17]. See also The HB Protection Society Incorporated v Hastings District Council EnvC W021/2009.

⁸ Wallace Group Limited v Auckland Council [2017] NZEnvC 106.

- A Planning Tribunal decision has stated that a person with official duties or responsibilities that may be affected by the outcome of the proceedings may have a qualifying interest, 11 but in this case the Waiau River Liaison Committee does not appear to have responsibilities that would be affected.
- The Waiau River Liaison Committee is a Committee of the Council and operates under Terms of Reference. The Terms of Reference of the Committee establish it as a way for the Council to communicate with ratepayers, and it does not appear to have a specific purpose which would qualify it as having an interest greater than the general public has. Further, the Terms of Reference do not extend to being involved in planning appeals.
- In line with the above caselaw and Terms of Reference, on the face of their section 274 Notice, the Waiau River Liaison Committee does not appear to meet the test to join the above listed appeals, as set out in section 274 of the RMA.

Conclusion

- Accordingly, the issue of the Waiau Rivercare Group's and the Waiau River Liaison Committee's standing should be addressed prior to the commencement of mediation on the appeals that they have sought to join.
- Counsel respectfully seeks that the Court issue similar directions in respect of the standing of the Waiau Rivercare Group and the Waiau River Liaison Committee as have been made in respect of Mr Buckingham.

DATED this 17th day of July 2018

PAC Maw / KJ Wyss

P. Naw

Counsel for the Southland Regional Council

¹⁰ Mangawhai Heads Holdings Ltd v Kaipara District Council [2011] NZEnvC 203, at [13]-[14].

¹¹ Te Runanga O Taumarere v Northland Regional Council PT Auckland A081/95, 21 August 1995.

Appendix A

			(GENERAL PROVISION	S	
General	Appellant	Objectives	Appellants	Policies	Appellants	
Inclusion of ephemeral	Ngāi Tahu					
rivers						
Historic heritage ¹	Heritage NZ					
		2	Fish & Game			
			Ngāi Tahu			
		13	Fish & Game			
			Alliance			
			Heritage NZ			
			Ngāi Tahu			
			Forest & Bird			
		13A	Fish & Game			
			Alliance			
			Forest & Bird			
		13B	Alliance			
			Forest & Bird			
			Fish & Game			
		18	Fish & Game			
			Alliance			
			Ngāi Tahu			
				13	Fish & Game	
					Ngāi Tahu	
					Forest & Bird	
				39	Fish & Game	
					Federated Farmers	
					Forest & Bird	
				39A	Ngāi Tahu	
					Forest & Bird	
					Horticulture NZ	

¹ Heritage NZ have also appealed the headings of several sections which have not been explicitly included in this list

				WA	TER TAKE/USE		
Objective	Appellant	Policies	Appellants	Rules	Appellants	Appendices	Appellants
9	Heritage NZ						
	Forest & Bird						
	Fish & Game						
9A	Fish & Game						
	Forest & Bird						
	Heritage NZ						
11	Alliance						
		20	Ngāi Tahu				
			Forest & Bird				
			Fish & Game				
			Heritage NZ				
			Alliance				
		24	Heritage NZ				
		25	Ngāi Tahu				
		42	Alliance				
			Fish & Game				
			Wilkins Farming				
				50	Alliance	_	
				51	Forest & Bird	_	
				54	Wilkins Farming		
					Fonterra		
						L.5	Wilkins Farming
							Director-General of Conservation
						J	Alliance
						K	Fish & Game

				WETLAND	S / INDIGENOUS E	BIODIVERSITY			
Objectives	Appellants	Policies	Appellants	Rules	Appellants	Appendices	Appellants	Definitions	Appellants
14	Forest & Bird			·		·		·	
17	Forest & Bird								
		32	Forest & Bird						
				74	Fish & Game Ngāi Tahu				
				74(ab)	Ngāi Tahu				
						Α	Fish & Game		
							Federated Farmers		
							Chartres		
							Ngāi Tahu		
						Q	Ngāi Tahu		
								Natural Wetland	Horticulture NZ
								Wetland	Horticulture NZ

				INFRA	STRUCTURE		
Objective	Appellant	Policies	Appellants	Rules	Appellants	Appendices	Appellants
9B	Fish & Game					<u> </u>	
	Forest & Bird						
	Federated						
	Farmers						
	Ngāi Tahu						
10	Aratiatia						
	Federated						
	Farmers						
	Meridian Energy						
	Ngāi Tahu						
New x	Meridian Energy						
		26	Aratiatia				
			Federated Farmers				
			Ngāi Tahu				
			Meridian Energy				
		26A	Transpower				
			Fish & Game				
			Forest & Bird				
			Ngāi Tahu				
				52	Aratiatia		
					Meridian Energy		
					Forest & Bird		
				52A	Aratiatia		
					Meridian Energy		
					Federated Farmers		
				49	Meridian Energy		
					Alliance		
					Federated Farmers		
				New 52B	Meridian Energy		
						E	Fish & Game
							Aratiatia
							Alliance
							Ngāi Tahu

			WATER QUA	LITY		
General	Appellant	Objectives	Appellants	Policies	Appellants	
Measure to 2010 not 2016 standard	Ngāi Tahu					
		6	Fish & Game Ngāi Tahu			
		7	Forest & Bird Fish & Game			
		,	1 isit & dame	15	Ngāi Tahu Forest & Bird	
				15A	Fish & Game Forest & Bird	
					Alliance Ngāi Tahu	
				15B	Fish & Game Forest & Bird	
					Alliance Ngāi Tahu	
				15C	Fish & Game Forest & Bird	
					Alliance Ngāi Tahu	

		- 1: :		ISCHARGES			
Objective	Appellant	Policies	Appellants	Rules	Appellants	Appendices	Appellan
<u>13</u>	Fish & Game						
	Alliance						
	Heritage NZ						
	Ngāi Tahu						
404	Forest & Bird	-					
<u>13A</u>	Fish & Game						
	<u>Alliance</u>						
405	Forest & Bird	-					
<u>13B</u>	<u>Alliance</u>						
	Forest & Bird						
	Fish & Game		1				
		14	Alliance				
		16A	Fonterra				
			Director-General of Conservation				
			Fish & Game				
			Forest & Bird				
			Ngāi Tahu				
			<u>Federated Farmers</u>	_			
				5	Director-General of Conservation		
					Alliance		
					Fish & Game		
					Ngāi Tahu		
				6	Alliance		
				9	Director-General of Conservation		
				13	Fish & Game		
					Forest & Bird		
					Federated Farmers		
				14	Horticulture NZ		
					Forest & Bird		
					Fish & Game		
				15	Ngāi Tahu		
					Territorial Authorities		
					Fish & Game		
					Forest & Bird		

	CULTURAL / FMUs / OTHER								
Objectives	Appellants	Policies	Appellants	Rules	Appellants	Appendices	Appellants		
15	Fish & Game								
		1	Federated Farmers						
		40	Federated Farmers						
		45	Fish & Game						
		46	Forest & Bird						
		47	Fish & Game						
				59A	Heritage NZ				
				79	Federated Farmers				
						S	Heritage NZ		

			BED DISTURBA	ANCE		
Policies	Appellants	Rules	Appellants	Definitions	Appellants	
28	Forest & Bird					
	Heritage NZ					
29	Director-General of					
	Conservation					
	Heritage NZ					
	Forest & Bird					
	Ngāi Tahu					
	HW Richardson	_				
30	Fish & Game					
		59	Transpower			
			Heritage NZ			
		73	Federated Farmers			
			Heritage NZ			
			Fish & Game			
		78	Director-General of			
			Conservation			
			Heritage NZ			
			Forest & Bird			
			Fish & Game			
			Ngai Tahu			
				gravel	Fish & Game	
				Sediment	Fish & Game	

PHYSIOGRAPHIC I	POLICIES
Policies	Appellants
4	Wilkins Farming
	Director-General of Conservation
	Fish & Game
	Alliance
	Federated Farmers
	Ngāi Tahu
	Forest & Bird
5	Wilkins Farming
	Fish & Game
	Alliance
	Federated Farmers
	Ngāi Tahu
	Forest & Bird
6	Wilkins Farming
	Alliance
	Fish & Game
	Forest & Bird
7	Wilkins Farming
-	Alliance
	Forest & Bird
8	Wilkins Farming
	Alliance
	Forest & Bird
9	Wilkins Farming
	Fish & Game
	Alliance
	Federated Farmers
	Ngāi Tahu
	Forest & Bird
10	Wilkins Farming
10	Fish & Game
	Alliance
	Federated Farmers
	Ngāi Tahu
	Forest & Bird
11	Wilkins Farming
	Fish & Game
	Alliance
	Federated Farmers
	Ngāi Tahu
	Forest & Bird
12	Wilkins Farming
_ _	Fish & Game
	Alliance
	Federated Farmers
	Ngāi Tahu
	Forest & Bird
12A	Alliance
, <u> </u>	Ngāi Tahu
	Wilkins Farming
	Fish & Game
	Forest & Bird
	Federated Farmers
<u> </u>	

			FAR	MING			
Policies	Appellants	Rules	Appellants	Appendices	Appellants	Definitions	Appellants
16	Fonterra						
	Director-General of						
	Conservation						
	Forest & Bird						
	Fish & Game						
	Ngāi Tahu						
18	Beef & Lamb						
	Federated Farmers						
	Fish & Game						
	Forest & Bird						
		20	Robert Grant				
			Fonterra				
			Aratiatia				
			Wilkins Farming				
			Dairy NZ				
			Beef & Lamb				
			Fish & Game				
			Federated Farmers				
			Stoney Creek Station				
			The Terraces				
			Campbells Block				
			Chartres				
			Ngāi Tahu				
		24	Forest & Bird Fish & Game				
		24					
		35A	Forest & Bird	-			
		35A	Federated Farmers				
			Dairy NZ Ngāi Tahu				
		70	Beef & Lamb				
		/0	Fish & Game				
			Forest & Bird				
			Chartres				
			Citatites	N	Heritage NZ		
					Fish & Game		
					Ngāi Tahu		
					1	Intensive Winter	Fish & Game
						Grazing	Stoney Creek Station
						Significant de-	Fish & Game
						vegetation	
						Feedlot/feedpad	Federated Farmers

		CULTIVATION		
Rules	Appellants	Definitions	Appellants	
25	Robert Grant			
	Horticulture NZ			
	Fish & Game			
	Federated Farmers			
	Stoney Creek Station			
	Campbells Block			
	Southwood			
	Ngāi Tahu			
	Forest & Bird			
		Spray and pray	Stoney Creek Station	
		Sloping ground	Fish and Game	
		cultivation	Horticulture NZ	
			Rayonier	
			Southwood	_

	WASTEWATER						
Policies	Appellants	Rules	Appellants				
17A	Ngāi Tahu						
	Federated Farmers						
	Fish & Game						
	Forest & Bird						
	·	26	Ngāi Tahu				
		28	Ngāi Tahu				
		29	Ngāi Tahu				
		33	Territorial Authorities				
		33A	Territorial Authorities				
		35	Federated Farmers				

AGRICULTURAL EFFLUENT			
Policies	Appellant	Rules	Appellants
17	Fonterra		
	Fish & Game		
	Forest & Bird		
	Ngāi Tahu		
		32B	Federated Farmers
			Fonterra
			Heritage NZ
		32D	Fonterra
		<u>35</u>	Federated Farmers