BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT AT CHRISTCHURCH

ENV-2018-CHC-000037

IN THE MATTER the Resource Management Act 1991 ("RMA")

AND

IN THE MATTER of an appeal under clause 14(1) of the First Schedule of

the RMA in relation to the Proposed Southland Water and

Land Plan

BETWEEN Southland Fish and Game Council

Appellant

A N D Southland Regional Council

Respondent

NOTICE OF WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS UNDER SECTION 274 OF RMA

ARATIATIA LIVESTOCK LIMITED

Dated this \ day of June 2018

ELLIS GOULD Level 17 Vero Centre

LAWYERS 48 Shortland Street, Auckland AUCKLAND Tel: 09 307 2172 / Fax: 09 358 5215

PO Box 1509 DX CP22003

REF: Douglas Allan AUCKLAND

Notice of wish to be party to proceedings under section 274 RMA by Aratiatia Livestock Limited

- 1. Aratiatia Livestock Limited ("Aratiatia") wishes to be a party to Notice of Appeal ENV-2018-CHC-000037 dated 17 May 2018 by Southland Fish and Game Council, c/John Edmonds & Associates (for: Ben Farrell) (ben@jea.co.nz) to the Environment Court ("the Appeal") against the decision of the Southland Regional Council on the Proposed Southland Water and Land Plan ("the Plan").
- 2. Aratiatia is entitled to be a party to the Appeal because:
 - (a) It lodged Notice of Appeal ENV-2018-CHC-000029 dated 16 May 2018 ("Aratiatia Appeal") which seeks relief on matters addressed in the Appeal.
 - (b) It owns and farms land on the right (western) bank of the Waiau River, the management of which will be directly affected by the relief sought in the Appeal.
- 3. Aratiatia is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.
- 4. Aratiatia is interested in and opposes the relief sought in the Appeal in respect of the following provisions:
 - (a) Objectives 2, 6, 13 and 18;
 - (b) Policies 10, 13, 16, 18, 20 and 45; and
 - (c) Rules 14, 20, 25 and 70.
- 5. The reasons for Aratiatia's opposition to the Appeal are:
 - (a) The relief sought in the Appeal is inappropriate in terms of section 32 of the RMA and contrary to the purpose, principles and other provisions of the RMA.
 - (b) The relief sought in the Appeal will generate unnecessary and inappropriate adverse effects on the environment and in particular on land management practices within the Waiau River catchment.
 - (c) The relief sought will create uncertainty in the implementation of the Plan.
 - (d) The grounds set out in the Aratiatia Appeal.
 - (e) The additional reasons set out in **Schedule 1**.

6. Aratiatia agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Signed for and on behalf of Aratiatia Livestock Limited by Its solicitors and duly authorised agents Ellis Gould:

D A Allan

Dated this \int day of June 2018

Address for Service of Section 274 Party: The offices of Ellis Gould, Solicitors, Level 17, The Vero Centre, 48 Shortland Street, Auckland (PO Box 1509, Auckland, 1140), DX CP22003, Phone: 09 307-2172, Facsimile, 09 358-5215. Attention: D A Allan, Email: dallan@ellisgould.co.nz

Schedule 1 - Additional reasons for opposing provisions

Provision	Aratiatia's position regarding item of relief sought by Appellant	
Objective 2	Oppose	Primary production's contribution to the Southland economy relative to other regions warrants its explicit mention.
Objective 6	Oppose	"Overall" is consistent with the NPSFM
Objective 13	Oppose	The proposed requirement to avoid adverse effects on the quantity, quality and structure of soil resources in 13(a), as opposed to a requirement to 'not irreversibly degrade' such resources, is a bar so high that it could unnecessarily and inappropriately prevent cultivation and intensive winter grazing.
Objective 18	Oppose	'Good management practice' is preferable to 'best practicable option'.
Policy 10	Oppose	As for Objective 18.
Policy 13	Oppose	As for Objective 2.
Policy 16	Oppose	The combination of 'avoiding' and 'in the catchments of Regionally Significant Wetlands and Sensitive Waterbodies' in Policy 16(1)(a) will unnecessarily and inappropriately prevent intensification of farming activities across large areas of Southland, including the entire Waiau Catchment. 'Good management practice' is preferable to 'best practicable option'.
Policy 18	Oppose	The definition of ephemeral river in the pSWLP is sufficiently uncertain that it could be interpreted to include any area of relief within a paddock that carries water in high rainfall events.
Policy 20	Oppose	As for Objective 2.
Policy 45		The proposed relief will significantly reduce the flexibility of communities and the Regional Council to use the limit setting process to tailor water management to the specific needs of each catchment by making it a requirement that the FMU specific provisions can only be more stringent that the region wide provisions.

Rule 14	I_	As for Policy 18. Similarly for critical source areas.
Rule 20	Oppose	As for Rule 14.
Rule 25	Oppose	As for Rule 14.
Rule 70	Oppose	As for Rule 14.