# BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT AT CHRISTCHURCH

Solicitor: K McRae

IN THE MATTER	of an appeal under Clause 14(1) of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991
BETWEEN	SOUTHLAND FISH AND GAME COUNCIL
	Appellant
AND	SOUTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL
	Respondent
SECTION 274 ON BEHALF OF SOUTHWOOD EXPORT LIMITED, KODANSHA TREEFARM NEW ZEALAND LIMITED AND SOUTHLAND PLANTATION FOREST COMPANY OF NEW ZEALAND LIMITED 22 June 2018	
AWS LEGAL	
LAWYERS INVERCARGILL	

#### **SECTION 274 NOTICE**

**To** The Registrar

**Environment Court** 

Christchurch

- 1. South Wood Export Limited ("**SWEL**"), on behalf of Kodansha Treefarm New Zealand Limited and Southland Plantation Forest Company of New Zealand Limited, wish to be a party to the appeal by Southland Fish and Game Council ("**Fish and Game**") in respect of the Southland Regional Council's decision on the Proposed Southland Water and Land Plan ("**WLP**").
- 2. SWEL made a submission on WLP on 1 August 2016 and a further submission on 11 August 2017.
- 3. SWEL is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308D of the Resource Management Act 1991 ("RMA").
- 4. SWEL is interested in part of the proceedings, being Rule 25 relating to cultivation. SWEL is particularly interested in:
  - a. matters relating to the definition of "cultivation" and rules applying to "cultivation" in so far as they apply to plantation forestry activities;
  - b. Fish and Game's proposed amendments to Rule 25 which seek to expand the category of waterways captured by the rule, increase the cultivation setback distances from waterways based on the slope of the relevant land, and add further matters that the Southland Regional Council will restrict the exercise of its discretion to.
- 5. SWEL opposes the relief sought by Fish and Game in its appeal on the grounds that the proposed changes:
  - a. Do not promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources in accordance with section 5 and other relevant matters at sections 6 and 7 of the RMA;
  - Are not supported by a sufficient cost/benefit analysis as required by section 34 of the RMA;
  - c. Would introduce an onerous regulatory burden on plantation forestry activities without achieving any corresponding environmental benefit.

- d. Are inconsistent with the National Environmental Standards for Plantation Forestry;
- 6. SWEL agrees to attend mediation and/or dispute resolution in regard to these proceedings.

# Dated at Invercargill this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of June 2018

.....

Katie McRae

Counsel for Southwood Export Limited

### Address for service of appellant:

## **AWS Legal**

PO Box 1207 Invercargill 9840

Phone: 03 211 1370 Fax: 03 214 4122

Contact person: Katie McRae

Email: katie.mcrae@awslegal.com