

Lorneville Air Discharge Management Plan

Service Procedure

Document Reference LNV AIR 001
Revision 004
Written By J ten Hoorn Boer
Date 2015
Page 1 of 14

Contents

1.0	Purpose	2
2.0	Scope	2
3.0	References	2
4.0	Definitions	2
5.0	Actions and Responsibilities	2
5.1	Resource Consents	3
5.2	General Conditions	3
5.2.1	Operational changes	3
5.2.2	Open burning	3
5.2.3	Operational malfunctions	3
5.3	Boiler Plant Operations	3
5.3.1	Stack height	4
5.3.2	Coal sulphur	4
5.3.3	Opacity	4
5.3.4	Coal and Ash Dust	4
5.3.5	Boiler Maintenance	4
5.3.6	Boiler emissions	5
5.3.7	Compliance Assessment	5
5.3.8	Performance Review	5
5.3.9	Ambient Monitoring	5
5.3.10	Stack testing	6
5.3.11	Review	7
5.4	Rendering Plant Operation	7
5.4.1	Raw renderables	7
5.4.2	Fallen Stock Processing	7
5.4.3	Off-site Raw Material Receipt	8
5.4.4	Process	8
5.4.5	Rendering Plant Cleaning and Maintenance	8
5.4.6	Contingency Plan	8
5.5	Rendering Odour Management	9
5.5.1	Biofilter	9
5.5.2	Raw material	9
5.5.3	Concentrated Sources	10
5.5.4	Meal Solids Dryer Exhaust	10
5.5.5	Biofilter Monitoring	10
5.5.6	Biofilter Maintenance	11
5.5.7	Blood Processing	12
5.5.8	Wool Hydrolysing	12
5.6	Wastewater Treatment Plant Odour Management	12
5.6.1	Current Operation	12
5.6.2	Future Operation	13
5.7	Odour Compliance	13
5.7.1	Off-site Odours	13
5.7.2	Odour Complaints	14
5.7.3	Community Meetings	14
6.0	Attachments	14
7.0	Document Amendment Register	14

1.0 Purpose

The document details the operating procedures, monitoring, and reporting requirements to be followed for the management of odour discharges arising from operations at Alliance's Lorneville site. This plan is a requirement of Consent No XXXX, Condition 5.

2.0 Scope

This procedure applies to the management of odour from all operations associated with the Lorneville site.

3.0 References

Resource Management Act	1991
National Environmental Standards for Air Quality	2011
Ambient Air Quality Guidelines(MfE / MoH)	2002
Regional Air Quality Plan for Southland	1999
Environment Southland Air Discharge Permit	Consent No XXXX
Environment Southland Land Discharge Permit	Consent No XXXX
Environment Southland Wastewater Discharge Permit	Consent No XXXX
MfE Good Practice Guide for Assessing and Managing Odour in NZ	2003
Assessment of Environmental Effects (MPL)	November 2015
Background Ambient Air Quality (Golder)	October 2015
Assessment Of Coal Fired Boiler Air Emissions (Golder)	October 2015
Baseline Odour Survey (Golder)	October 2015
Wastewater Treatment Odour Mitigation (Golder)	March 2015
Process Odour Mitigation (Golder)	October 2015
Odour-Control Biofilter for Treating Rendering Emissions, Agresearch	March 2012
Lorneville Land Irrigation Management Plan	LNV EFT 002
Lorneville Rendering Department Procedure	LNV REN 001
Effluent Treatment Plant Operating Procedure	LNV EFT 001
Services Department Procedure	LNV SER 001
Compliance Issues Register	PRO 117

4.0 Definitions

Term	Definition
Plant	Lorneville Plant including all processing and ancillary functions excluding the farm operation
PDS	Press Dewatering System – low temperature rendering plant
WWTP	Wastewater Treatment Plant
DAF	Dissolved Air Flotation plant

5.0 Actions and Responsibilities

Due to the scope of this management plan, actions and responsibilities shall be covered in individual sections.

5.1 Resource Consents

The Lorneville site operates under a number of Resource Consents issued by Environment Southland and Southland District Council.

The activities associated with the discharge of contaminants, including odour, to air are covered by the following resource consent:

Environment Southland Air Discharge Permit (Consent no. XXXX)

To discharge contaminants to air for the purpose of operating a meat processing and export plant and associated activities and all other on-site activities including the disposal of waste.

Expiry date: XXXX

5.2 General Conditions

5.2.1 Operational changes

Any proposed changes to Plant operations are to be assessed against the Assessment of Environmental Effects (Nov 2015) and the supporting Technical Reports. Should any proposed change be inconsistent with these documents the need for a new or varied resource consent should be considered.

The need for a new or varied resource consent should also be considered if a proposed operational change is likely to significantly adversely alter the nature or quality of contaminants emitted or the effects of those emissions on the environment. (Condition 2)

It is the responsibility of the Plant Manager to seek the appropriate advice should changes be proposed.

5.2.2 Open burning

There can be no burning of trade waste in the open on the Plant. Paper or wood waste only (eg: wooden pallets) can be burnt in the concrete lined ash storage bunker adjacent to the Wastewater Treatment Plant. (Condition 4)

Burning of rubbish is the responsibility of the Services Supervisor and this condition is addressed in LNV SER 001.

5.2.3 Operational malfunctions

In the event of the malfunction of an item of plant or equipment which may result in emissions of offensive odours beyond the boundary of the Plant, production personnel shall notify the Environmental Coordinator who in turn shall notify Environment Southland immediately of the potential for offensive odours to be detected beyond the Plant boundary. ES should be advised of any contingency measures put in place, the measures being taken to correct the malfunction and the expected timeframe for this to occur. (Condition 3)

Should an offensive odour beyond the boundary be reported then the Environmental Coordinator is to prepare a report to ES detailing the cause of the malfunction and the action taken, or any action proposed, to avoid a recurrence of the problem. This written report is to be lodged with the Council no later than 25 working days from the time of the odour complaint. It is the responsibility of the Environmental Coordinator, in conjunction with the appropriate plant personnel to ensure that any identified corrective and preventative actions are carried out. (Condition 28)

5.3 Boiler Plant Operations

The Plant operates two lignite coal fired boilers to provide its steam and hot water requirements. They are the 18 megawatt Babcock and Wilcox (No1) and the 12.7 megawatt Foster-Wheeler (No 2) boilers.

Their operation is seasonally impacted with maximum steam demand expected to be between December and May. Maximum coal rates are: No 1 = 7.23 t/hr; No 2: 4.57t/hr; and maximum steam production rates at 8 Bar are: No 1 = 26.65 t/hr; No 2: 18.80 t/hr.

Lignite coal (Industrial Blend) is currently supplied by Solid Energy from its East Southland Newvale mine. It has a calorific value of approximately 15 MJ/kg and a sulphur content of 0.4 – 0.5%. Other contract conditions include: Total moisture; 40-45%: Ash; 3.5 – 6%: Fines <3.35 mm; 20% maximum: Top size; 40mm.

Opportunities for servicing and certification generally occur when processing has ceased or significantly reduced to where one boiler can supply the Plant's requirements.

The Boiler Plant operation is documented in the Boiler House Operating Procedure (LNV BLH 001). Four hourly process control checks are recorded on the Service Log (Form 1206) and any variations from standard operating requirements are recorded on the Non-Conformance Report (Form 1212).

5.3.1 Stack height

The height above the surrounding ground level of the two boilers is not to be reduced below their current height: No1 Boiler (Babcock and Wilcox); 30.9m; No 2 Boiler (Foster-Wheeler); 34.1m. (Condition 6)

5.3.2 Coal sulphur

A grab sample of supplied or stored coal is to be collected every week and analysed for combustible sulphur percentage of weight of coal on both an as-received and dry basis by a laboratory certified by IANZ for this analysis (EG:SGS).

The coal sulphur content is not to exceed 0.5 wt% on an as received basis. The Environmental Coordinator is to check individual results for compliance, summarise the results annually and report to ES by the 30th November each year with a comparison against the limit. (Condition 7)

Prior to accepting a new coal source a representative sample is to be analysed for combustible sulphur percentage to confirm its compliance with the coal sulphur limit of 0.5%.

5.3.3 Opacity

A smoke opacity greater than Ringelmann 1 is permitted for short periods of time only under the following conditions:

- For 60 minutes when lighting a boiler after a shutdown period of up to eight hours; or
- For four hours when lighting a boiler after a shutdown period of longer than eight hours; and
- At any other time, to allow for cleaning the fires and manual soot blowing of the boilers, for periods not exceeding two minutes at a time and not exceeding five minutes in any period of 60 minutes.

Start-up conditions particularly heat and pressure are managed carefully to ensure compliance with this condition. If there is doubt about the timeframes being met the smoke opacity can be checked via the on-site Ringelmann test set-up.

To monitor compliance with this condition during normal boiler operations the opacity of the boiler stack smoke is periodically compared with a Ringelmann chart. This is recorded and retained in the maintenance department office. (Condition 9)

5.3.4 Coal and Ash Dust

Coal and ash are to be managed to ensure that there are no discharges to air from them that are visible beyond the boundary of the site. (Condition 10)

Coal is pushed up as required into the coal storage bin and coal delivery trucks are advised to ensure deliveries are made within the confines of the bin. The area external to the storage bin is to be maintained clear of dust.

Ash is transported by trailer from the boilers to the concrete walled burner at the Wastewater Treatment Plant for storage until transported by coal delivery vehicles to the New Vale coal mine for disposal as backfill in the mine void (Solid Energy Consent No 202596, Expires 2019). In the event that stored ash becomes very dry and likely to release dust that travels to the boundary, the ash pile is to be wetted.

5.3.5 Boiler Maintenance

The at least annual servicing of both boilers is to be supervised by competent people or organisations. Servicing is to include at a minimum:

- Internal cleaning and replacement or repair of damaged equipment and services as necessary;
- Adjustment of the air to fuel ratio to optimise energy efficiency and to minimise the emission of products of incomplete combustion; and
- Calibration and adjustment of boiler monitoring equipment consistent with the intent of this consent. (Condition 11)

Service and maintenance requirements are documented in BLH 001. This documentation refers to:

- Routine checks and their recording systems (Forms 1207, 1208, 1212).
- Equipment calibrations and their recording systems.
- Quarterly inspections to be carried out by an approved contractor (Easteel).
- Annual survey – SGS.
- Repairs to be carried out by the manufacturer, their designated agent or another organisation approved by the inspecting authority and recorded on Form 1211.
- Maintenance – during the season and off season maintenance – to be recorded on Form 1213 (#1 Boiler) and Form 1214 (#2 Boiler).
- Modifications only to be carried out with the approval of the inspection authority, the manufacturer or their designated agent. Any internal documentation affected by a modification should be reviewed and reissued in necessary.
- Boiler Management Systems annual external verification.

Records of all servicing carried out are to be collated by the Environmental Coordinator and reported to Environment Southland by 30 November each year.

5.3.6 Boiler emissions

For the first five years of the resource consent, the flow weighted average PM₁₀ concentration exhausted from the two boilers is not to exceed **300** mg/m³ adjusted to zero degrees Celsius, 101.3 kilopascals, and 12 percent carbon dioxide on a dry gas basis. This equates to a flow weighted average of 21.4 kg/hr. (Condition 12a)

By no later than 5 years from the first exercise of the Consent no **XXXX (XX 2021)**, the flow weighted average PM₁₀ concentration exhausted from the two boilers is not to exceed **250** mg/m³ adjusted to zero degrees Celsius, 101.3 kilopascals, and 12 percent carbon dioxide on a dry gas basis. This equates to a flow weighted average of 17.8 kg/hr (Condition 12b).

5.3.7 Compliance Assessment

The primary assessment of compliance with the particulate emission limits described above is by ambient monitoring. The Environmental Coordinator in conjunction with the Engineering Manager must ensure that the appropriate monitoring equipment and recording systems are organised and available for the monitoring programme of at least 4 months between 1 December and 1 April (Condition 13).

5.3.8 Performance Review

During the first years operating under Consent No **XXXX** the performance of the boilers should be reviewed and an assessment made of the measures, or technologies, necessary to meet the lowered 5 year limit (250 mg/m³). If required, appropriate arrangements (EG by Capex request if necessary) should be made well in advance of the required compliance date.

The Plant Manager must ensure that any identified appropriate actions are undertaken at an appropriate time to ensure compliance with the lowered 5 year limit.

5.3.9 Ambient Monitoring

Ambient limits have been developed that relate directly to 1) 300 mg/m³ and 2) 250 mg/m³. Those limits are:

Hourly Downwind PM ₁₀ Percentile	Monitored hourly PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³), downwind conditions	Expected PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³) for stack concentration of 300 mg/m ³	Expected PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³) for stack concentration of 250 mg/m ³
100%	x	122	117
95%	y	37	35
50%	z	18	17

Ambient monitoring is to be undertaken annually at a monitoring location as close as practical to the location used to collect data for the consent application, adjacent to the Molloy property. The Environmental Coordinator in conjunction with the Engineering Manager is to arrange for the appropriate equipment to be available. It is expected that initially this will be organised through an external agency (EG: Watercare) but that in time the Plant may purchase its own equipment with an external contract in place to service and calibrate it.

The monitoring is to be continuous and log ambient 1-hour and 24-hourly average respirable particulate (PM₁₀) concentrations in conjunction with wind speed and direction. Ambient data is to be collected for a

period of at least 4 months between 1 December and 1 April (Condition 13). Key points of the monitoring programme are:

- The PM₁₀ monitoring is to be by Beta Attenuation Monitors (BAM) in accordance with AS/NZ 3580.9.11:2008 'Determination of suspended particulate matter - PM₁₀ or equivalent semi-continuous method. The sampling height is to be 3 metres above ground level.
- There is to be concurrent monitoring of wind speed and direction and logging of 10 minute and hourly averaged data at the same site as the PM₁₀ monitoring. Wind speed and direction is to be monitored by industry standard meteorological monitoring instrumentation that is attached to a mast at a height of 6 metres above ground level. Specifically the wind direction and speed monitoring equipment shall meet the following specifications:

Wind Speed Instrumentation:

Range: 0 to \geq 30m/s

Accuracy: $\leq \pm 5\%$ @ 3 m/s

Resolution: \leq 0.1 m/s

Response Time: \leq 1 second

Wind Speed Threshold: \leq 0.5 m/s

Wind Direction Instrumentation:

Range: 0-359°

Accuracy: $\leq \pm 5\%$ @ 3 m/s

Resolution: 1°

Response Time: \leq 1 second

Wind Speed Threshold: \leq 0.5 m/s

- Ambient PM₁₀ concentrations in micrograms per cubic metre are to be recorded in electronic form as 1-hour and 24-hour averages (mid night to mid night). Wind speed in metres per second, and wind direction in degrees clockwise of true north, are to be recorded in electronic form as 1-hour and 10 minute averages. The data is to be logged on the **Plant SCADA**.
- The hourly PM₁₀ concentration measurements that occur when the monitoring site is downwind of the boiler stacks - between 245 and 270 degrees from true north (or whichever directions are within 13 degrees of the direction bearing between the monitor sample point and the boiler stacks) are to be reported.
- The maximum, 95th and 50th percentile values from these data are to be reported (ie. X, Y, Z indicated in the limits table above). The maximum and 95th percentiles (X & Y) shall not exceed their respective PM₁₀ concentration percentile limits listed in the table above.

Within 2 months of the completion of the ambient monitoring period the Environmental Coordinator is to report to Environment Southland (Condition 14). The report is to include:

- Start and end dates of monitoring;
- Electronic data set containing the time series of monitored hourly PM₁₀, wind speed and wind direction;
- Table containing the monitored versus PM₁₀ percentiles;
- Time series plot of monitored 24-hour average PM₁₀ and comparison with the NES criterion for 24-hour PM₁₀;
- Confirmation of any stack discharge testing being planned, and the testing and reporting schedule in response to either the 95th and/or the 100th PM₁₀ concentration percentile limits being exceeded as described in 5.3.10.

5.3.10 Stack testing

If either or both of the 100th or 95th percentile limits described above have been exceeded by more than 2 μ g/m³, stack testing for PM₁₀ particulates should be instigated as soon as possible and no later than 2 months after the identification of the non-compliance (Condition 15). Testing shall comply with test methods USEPA 201A (filterable PM₁₀) and 202 (condensable PM₁₀) or equivalent methods agreed with ES. In this event ambient monitoring should be continued until the stack testing occurs.

The Environmental Coordinator in conjunction with the Engineering Manager is to arrange for the stack emission testing to be carried out by a suitable agency (EG: CRL Ltd) as required. The Environmental Coordinator is to provide the results of the stack testing and the extended ambient monitoring to Environment Southland within 30 days of the completion of the testing. If the monitoring identifies that the operation of the boilers is the likely cause or is likely to have contributed to the exceedance of the ambient limits, the report is to identify the likely cause and the remedial action required to prevent such exceedances occurring again and the appropriate timeframe for implementation. The Engineering Manager is to ensure that the identified measures are implemented within the identified timeframe. (Condition 16)

5.3.11 Review

Within 10 years of the commencement of Consent No XXXX and after that at 5 yearly intervals for the duration of the consent a review is to be carried out that considers:

- (a) The results of the monitoring required by the conditions of this consent;
- (b) Relevant guidelines or standards for discharges to air; and
- (c) Technology for the control of emissions to air from the site.

The purpose of this review is to identify if there is a need for further mitigation and if so, what is the most appropriate technology to further reduce any adverse effects as a result of air discharges from the combined boilers on the environment. The results of this review is to be provided to ES within one month of the report being completed and the consent holder shall be required to implement any practicable actions set out within the review in agreement with the consent authority.

5.4 Rendering Plant Operation

The Lorneville rendering operation is carried out on-site using a continuously fed Rendertech Press Dewatering System (PDS). The PDS is a low temperature rendering process. The operation currently consists of two operational process lines with one processing predominantly ovine raw material produced at Lorneville and the second line processing bovine raw material from Mataura. Calf raw material from Lorneville and skinned fallen stock are processed seasonally.

Raw material is received either from the slaughter and boning operations in the Lorneville plant or from off-site into receival bins. Wool-on product is processed through a hydrolyser, and then is combined with the other raw material. The raw material is pre-cooked, pressed to remove the remaining fat and water from the product, and dried. The dried product is milled to produce meat and bone meal, which is sold for animal feed. The press liquid is passed through a decanter to remove fine solids. From there it is then passed through a separator for refining to produce tallow. This is the standard operation.

The Rendering Plant operation is documented in the Rendering Department Procedure (LNV REN 001).

It is recognised and accepted that there can be a cooking smell associated with an animal product rendering plant. This is minimised during standard processing by extracting the emissions from all processing point sources. The gases are cooled through a heat exchanger before being treated through a bark biofilter. Exhaust gases from the dryer are used to heat the waste heat evaporator (WHE). The non-condensable dryer exhaust air stream that exits the WHE is treated, in combination with the other odorous airstreams, through the biofilter. In addition to this, emissions from the hydrolyser along with blood drying emissions are ducted to the boiler plant where they are added to supply combustion air and incinerated.

5.4.1 Raw renderables

Raw material from ovine or bovine slaughter must be rendered within 24 hours of slaughter; chilled or frozen material from further processing must be processed within 24 hours of the time of delivery to the rendering plant unless suitably stabilised. If stabilisation is required then "EnviroXyde" (chlorine dioxide activated by citric acid) should be used and applied within 8 hours of slaughter or within 8 hours of the removal of the animal carcass from a chilled facility. (Condition18)

Normal processing achieves the requirement to process within the specified timeframes. It is confirmed by daily confirmation of the raw material age which is recorded in Rendering Process Control Checklist (Form LNV 2700). In the event that a process delay or failure is likely to cause a breach of this condition the Rendering Supervisor is required to inform the Production Manager who will instigate appropriate actions to minimise the non-compliance. The Environmental Coordinator should also be informed and if the situation cannot be rectified within a compliant timeframe they will notify Environment Southland and keep them informed with progress in resolving the problem. The Environmental Coordinator will complete an incident report when appropriate. Incident reports are documented in Compliance Issues Register (PRO 117)

If the delivery of bovine raw material from Mataura is to be delayed, or in hot conditions, the product is sprayed at the Mataura Plant pre-breaker with Enviroxyde at the suppliers (Orica) recommended dose rates. This preserves product quality as well as minimising any potential odours.

5.4.2 Fallen Stock Processing

Skinned fallen stock (slinks) are rendered on behalf of Slink Skins NZ who collect the dead stock from farms for retrieval and further processing of the skins. This generally occurs from early August to late October. This raw material cannot meet the criteria for being fresh or preserved but must be processed as soon as practicable on receipt at Lorneville. Close liaison should be maintained with Slink Skins NZ and limits put on the amount of material received should processing not be able to keep up with supply. (Condition 18)

5.4.3 Off-site Raw Material Receipt

The two receival bins for off-site material can potentially release odours. The doors should remain shut at all times other than during receival. The bins must be kept clean and free of any build-up of organic material. These aspects are checked by the Rendering Supervisors each shift and outcomes recorded on Form 2700. The potential release of a burst of odorous air when the doors are opened is avoided by the continuous extraction of the building air to the biofilter.

5.4.4 Process

No uncooked or partially cooked material is to be left in an uncooked or partially cooked condition overnight in the rendering process line. This condition is fully satisfied under normal operating conditions as all material has to be processed prior to the receipt of a new day's fresh material. It is the responsibility of the Rendering Supervisors to ensure the situation does not occur (Condition 19).

No blood older than 48 hours from slaughter is to be processed. Under normal processing conditions this cannot occur and it is addressed by the requirement in the blood processing section of the Rendering Operating Procedure to cook old blood first. It is the responsibility of the Rendering Supervisors to ensure the situation does not occur (Condition 20).

In the event that a process delay or failure is likely to cause a breach of these condition the Rendering Supervisor is required to inform the Production Manager who will instigate appropriate actions to minimise the non-compliance. Implementation of the documented contingency plan should be considered. The Environmental Coordinator should also be informed and if the situation cannot be rectified within an compliant timeframe they will notify Environment Southland and keep them informed with progress in resolving the problem. The Environmental Coordinator will complete an incident report when appropriate. Incident reports are documented in PRO 117.

5.4.5 Rendering Plant Cleaning and Maintenance

The Rendering Plant is to be maintained in a clean and functional state for the purposes of odour control (Condition 24). Routine daily and weekly cleaning schedules are documented in LNV REN 001. Daily checks of clean ups are carried out and faults identified are recorded on the Daily Clean Up Checklist (Form LNV 2712). Corrective actions are also recorded on LNV 2712.

All structures are observed daily during clean up and faults identified recorded on LNV 2712. A requisition should be made to the Engineering Manager for maintenance work to be carried out. Corrective actions are also recorded on LNV 2712.

Prior to a shut-down period the Rendering Supervisor is to prepare a maintenance list including:

- All maintenance work to be carried out during off -season.
- General cleaning all areas of department.

Supervisor forwards a copy of the lists to the Production and the Engineering Managers and it is the responsibility of the Engineering Manager to ensure that all necessary maintenance is carried out.

5.4.6 Contingency Plan

A contingency plan is in place and this is also documented in LNV REN 001.

A 'preventative maintenance schedule' and process monitoring programme are in place for assessing potential problems and enabling them to be rectified before they occur. In all instances where equipment failure occurs, plant personnel shall respond immediately to resolve any issues in order to prevent lost time and minimise the effect on processing operations.

Where a minor mechanical breakdown occurs, every attempt shall be made to resolve the issue by replacing the failed equipment with additional or duplicate equipment.

Where major/multiple equipment failure occurs, processing shall cease on that line, with all material diverted to the duplicate line. The availability of two identical processing lines allows for flexibility of processing, with the ability to switch between lines. A single line has the capacity to process all of Lorneville's raw material.

The Lorneville Plant blow system and truck loading facility shall be utilised to remove slaughter waste from the Rendering department as well as boning waste from further processing rooms in the event that the rendering plant is unable to process the raw material. This gives the Plant the ability to transfer material offsite to an external rendering facility. Several companies are available to take additional further processing material for de-boning if required. The Mataura Plant has an arrangement in place with an external renderer for surplus bovine material to be transported to an external rendering facility, if or when required.

In the unlikely event of a full-scale shut-down due to, for example, a power failure or loss of steam, slaughter operations would be expected to cease at either or both plants.

Once operational, the oldest material must be processed first. If the issue is not going to be resolved within the required time frame for processing 'fresh' material, the raw material shall be transferred to an external rendering facility.

If a mechanical breakdown occurs which is likely to cause an odour impact, then Plant Management shall immediately contact Environment Southland staff and advise them of the potential problem. Management shall outline the actions to be taken to minimise the impact to the environment and to resolve the problem, and the timeframe expected.

5.5 Rendering Odour Management

A range of processes within the rendering operation have the potential to release odours that if not appropriately contained may cause odours that are noxious, dangerous, offensive or objectionable to the extent that they cause an adverse effect beyond the site boundary (Condition 26). The primary potential sources are:

- Raw material
- Concentrated sources from the rendering process lines
- Meal solids dryer exhaust
- Meal solids milling and screening.

The primary odour mitigation mechanism is to pass potentially odorous air through a biofilter. Other mechanisms are used for specific areas as outlined below.

5.5.1 Biofilter

The two celled bark-bed biofilter treats the combined flows of cooled concentrated sources from the Rendering Plant and ambient air from the raw material reception building. The two cell biofilter is 12m X 35 m in area. The design air flow is 18,300 m³/hr.

The odorous gases are pre-cooled through a shell and tube heat exchanger. Maintaining this cooled air stream generally below 35°C is a key operational parameter. The temperature must be maintained at less than 40°C at least 99% of the time (Condition 23).

The gas distribution system that feeds the pre-cooled gases to the biofilter comprises a large distribution pipe running the length of the biofilter with a number of lateral perforated pipes set in coarse gravel to ensure even distribution of the gases through the biofilter medium.

The media within the biofilter consists of a 1.5m layer of screened pinus radiata bark (3-10mm) on top of a 0.25 m layer of coarse bark (25-75mm). The total volume of media is approximately 735 m³ and this gives a design loading rate of 25 m³ air / hr / m³ media.

The base of the biofilter is impermeable and leachate and stormwater run-off run into a drainage collection system terminating at a collection sump. The collected drainage is pumped to the North Drain and hence to the WWTP.

An irrigation system is associated with the biofilter to enable the moisture content of the media to be maintained in dry conditions.

5.5.2 Raw material

On-site raw material collection and grinding (IE: material from the Lorneville processing plant) involves the collection of fresh material and as long as this practice is maintained this activity only produces a low level of odours that are unlikely to have any effect off-site. The only mitigation required in this area is that only fresh product is processed (Refer Sect 5.4.1).

The receipt of off-site raw material reception has greater potential for the release of odours as delivery of material requires the large access doors to be opened. This combined with the dumping of new material results in raw offal odours potentially being discharged from the building.

As described in Sect 5.4.3 the access doors are to be kept closed at all times other than during the delivery of raw material.

To mitigate the release of any odours from the raw material reception building when trucks are unloading, a building air extraction system discharges to the biofilter. The inlet manifold extends across the full building width near to the ceiling. Two sub-manifolds extend to the lower basement and floor sump areas. 150mm openings are spaced along the primary and sub-manifolds. The building extraction fan and associated VSD motor removes any build-up of odorous air when the building is sealed and this avoids a sudden release of odours when the doors are open.

5.5.3 Concentrated Sources

Concentrated sources are those that have sufficient odour concentration to require containment and treatment. The enclosed solids transfer conveyors that connect material flows between the cookers, presses, decanters, dryers and the meal processing plant provide a potential fugitive odour escape route for all the main process stages.

The concentrated odour sources in each processing line that are ducted to the biofilter include: raw material surge bin; pre-cook feed conveyor; pre-cooker; drainer conveyor; solids press; decanter; press cake conveyor; dryer feed conveyor; separators; stickwater mixing tanks and WHE.

The 45 kW VSD biofilter fan drives the concentrated sources extraction system. A key parameter for the effective operation of the system is the vacuum within the inlet to the fan as if this is great enough (target -500 Pa gauge) it ensures a good level of vacuum throughout the extraction system.

A water cooled vertical shell and tube heat exchanger receives all the concentrated source flows including the non-condensable dryer exhaust flows from the WHEs, condenses the vapours and cools the humid air stream. The inlet gas temperature to the biofilter is a critical operating parameter as elevated temperatures will destroy the microorganisms required for the effective operation of the biofilter. Ideally the temperature should routinely remain below 35°C but shall be kept at < 40°C for at least 99% of the time (Condition 23). The temperature is continuously recorded on the SCADA.

5.5.4 Meal Solids Dryer Exhaust

The hot exhaust air from the solids dryers is the largest and most significant potential source of odour from the rendering plant. It is used in the WHE to evaporate water from stick liquor under vacuum. This process cools the hot exhaust gases. The non-condensable gases are pulled from the WHE and further cooled through the shell and tube heat exchanger as described above. The key parameters for the effective operation of this part of the system are the evaporator vacuum, the stickwater level within the WHE and the final stickwater concentration. The evaporator vacuum and the stickwater level are continuously monitored; the stickwater level is automatically controlled and the stickwater concentration is manually measured and the concentrate discharge pump rate adjusted to achieve the target value.

Other operational parameters that provide key information and that are continuously monitored include the following:

- Stickwater recirculation pump amps; (high levels warn of possible pump issues or excessive concentration of stickwater)
- Condenser hot water outlet temperature; (low levels warn of poor heat transfer in condenser or the WHE)
- Condenser cold water inlet temperature; (high levels on hot days warn of reduced condensing capacity)
- Non condensable gas (NCG) temperature; (high values indicate poor heat transfer in the WHE)
- Stick liquor temperature in WHE; (low values indicate poor heat transfer in the WHE)
- WHE vapour temperature; (low values indicate poor heat transfer in the WHE)

5.5.5 Biofilter Monitoring

The following table summarises the monitoring associated with the performance of the biofilter, the frequency of monitoring, the targeted values, the recording systems and the responsibilities.

Component	Method and frequency	Target value	Records	Responsibility
Main inlet manifolds to biofilter and material reception building fans	Installed Vacuum Gauge Weekly	- 500 Pa gauge (-50 mm WG)	Process Emissions Form 2736	Rendering Supervisor
Discharge Ducts from LTRP Biofilter and Material Reception Building Fans	Installed Pressure Gauge Weekly	1500 Pa gauge (150 mm WG)	Process Emissions Form 2736	Rendering Supervisor

Manifold Connections to process equipment, conveyors, and bins.	Handheld Differential Pressure Measurement (or Vacuum gauge) Annual	-100 Pa gauge (-10mm WG)	Biofilter Monitoring Spreadsheet	Rendering Supervisor
Biofilter Inlet Duct				
Inlet Flow	Pitot tube* (access via 2 x Ø100mm BSP fittings to be installed at 90° from each other) Annual	Nominal Design Flow 18,300 m ³ /hr	Biofilter Monitoring Spreadsheet	Engineering Manager
Back Pressure	Installed U-tube manometer Weekly	< 150 mm water gauge	Process Emissions Form 2736	Rendering Supervisor
Temperature	Continuous temperature probe Continuous	< 35°C for more than 95% time; < 40°C for more than 99% time; (Consent Limit) Maximum: 50°C	SCADA	Rendering Supervisor
Biofilter Media				
Back Pressure	Installed U-tube manometer Weekly	<50 mm water gauge	Process Emissions Form 2736	Rendering Supervisor
Moisture Content	Oven drying at 100°C Monthly	50 wt. % to 65 wt. %	Biofilter Monitoring Spreadsheet	Env Coordinator / Laboratory
pH	Soil pH ^{##} 3-Monthly	pH 5 or higher (top 2/3 layer)	Biofilter Monitoring Spreadsheet	Env Coordinator / Laboratory
Air Loading Rate	From annual flow data Annual	25 m ³ _{air} /hr per m ³ _{media}	Biofilter Monitoring Spreadsheet	Env Coordinator
Media Depth	Four core samples down to washed river gravel layer Biannually (2 Years)	1.5 m screen bark 0.25 m coarse bark	Biofilter Monitoring Spreadsheet	Env Coordinator
Media Composition & Size Distribution	Mass-size distribution of Bark: Bark oven dried, sieved using a Fritsch analysette 3 at 2mm amplitude 5 minutes. Biannually (2 Years)	Top layer: screened bark, 3-10 mm (1.5 m depth), Bottom layer: coarse bark, 25-75 mm (0.25 m depth)	Biofilter Monitoring Spreadsheet	Env Coordinator
Organic Carbon:Nitrogen Ratio	Landcare method 114 Biannually (2 Years)	50:1	Biofilter Monitoring Spreadsheet	Env Coordinator
Process emissions	Record presence / absence of any steamy discharge Daily	None	Process Emissions Form 2736	Rendering Supervisor
Rendering Odour ex biofilter	Record presence / absence of recognisable rendering odour Weekly	None	Biofilter Monitoring Form 2735	Env Coordinator
Biofilter bed flow distribution	Assessed visually on cold morning Monthly	Even dispersion	Biofilter Monitoring Form 2735	Env Coordinator
Odour assessment	Downwind VDI Annually	Not detectable	Biofilter Monitoring Spreadsheet	Env Coordinator
Odour complaints	Review Annually		Infoleader Form PRO 117	Env Coordinator

The Biofilter Monitoring Spreadsheet is located on P Drive / Public / Env Data / Rendering / Lorneville / Biofilter Monitoring.

5.5.6 Biofilter Maintenance

pH: If the measured pH values are consistently below the target values and continuing to trend downwards, lime should be applied. Initial application rate should be in the order of 0.7 kg/m² (240kg in total). This application should be evenly spread, worked into the media and heavily watered. Should subsequent sampling and analyses not indicate an improvement the application should be repeated.

Moisture: Maintaining adequate moisture content is critical to the operation of the biofilter. Water should be routinely irrigated to its surface, at least three 4 hour periods a week over the entire biofilter during extended spells with no rain. Should the measured moisture content drop below target values, then additional water should be applied. Awareness should be maintained during non-production periods such as Christmas and irrigation should be continued during these periods in the absence of rain.

Pressure Differential and Flow Distribution: If the pressure differential across the media bed is trending up and exceeds the target, and visual assessments of the gas flow distribution indicate short circuiting then a plan should be made to turn the layer of fine bark. In practice this can be achieved with a long reach digger, removing a proportion of the media (~50m³) and then moving the remaining media along the bed. Care needs to be taken to not disturb the coarse layer of bark below.

Media: If the media surveys indicate that the bark is significantly breaking down and becoming too fine or condensed then a plan should be made to replace the media. Prior to implementation of this plan the bark quality should be supported by other measurements such as the pressure differential across the biofilter.

5.5.7 Blood Processing

Blood generated at the Lorneville and Makarewa sites is processed by in-line coagulation with steam, cooling, decanting to produce a wet solid for drying in an indirect contact steam dryer prior to screening and bagging. The most significant source of odour is the hot wet exhaust air from the dryer. This exhaust stream is cooled by a water jacket heat exchanger with a resultant odorous non-condensable air stream. This air stream is extracted to the coal fired boiler house where it is mixed with inlet combustion air for odour destruction via combustion. This system is to be checked at least annually to ensure it is working effectively.

5.5.8 Wool Hydrolysing

The two hydrolysers associated with rendering are used to remove the wool from heads, hocks and skin pieces in a caustic bath prior to rendering. The exhaust gases from the hydrolysers are extracted to the coal fired boiler house where they are mixed with inlet combustion air for odour destruction via combustion. This system is to be checked at least annually to ensure it is working effectively.

5.6 Wastewater Treatment Plant Odour Management

5.6.1 Current Operation

The operation of the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) and its associated activities are described in the Effluent Treatment Plant Operating Procedure (LNV EFT 001), the Environmental Monitoring Plan (LNV EFT 005) and the Lorneville Land Irrigation Management Plan (LNV EFT 002)

The main potential sources of odour from the WWTP are the anaerobic pond and to a lesser extent the first stage of the mechanically aerated Loop pond.

Any reduction in the organic load to the WWTP will assist in reducing odour from the treatment plant.

A key mitigation of odour is the natural scum cover on the anaerobic pond and any targeted reduction in organic load should target protein rather than floatable fats which are a key component of the scum cover.

Organic load reduction has been targeted recently with the new rendering plant that recycles stickwater and recovers it as product rather than losing it to drain. Maintaining this operation effectively, as described above, is a key measure for minimising WWTP odours.

Previously the existing DAF plant was overloaded both hydraulically and with pollutant loads and its operation was compromised because of this. In 2014 / 2015 an additional DAF plant (the mini-DAF) was installed associated with the rendering plant. The high strength low volume wastestreams (screw and hopper drainage, blood centrate, hydrolyser waste, soup stock waste) are now treated through the mini-DAF removing a greater proportion of the organic load and assisting the performance of the main DAF. The performance of the mini-DAF is still to be optimised and ensuring and verifying its performance is also a key measure for reducing the organic load to the WWTP and so minimising odours. A further plan is being developed to remove the blood centrate stream from the mini-DAF and capture its solids through the WHE. This will allow the ovine raw material drainings to be further treated through the mini-DAF.

The reduction of sulphides and sulphates loads into the WWTP would reduce the potential odour that is generated from the conversion of these compounds into odorous hydrogen sulphide. There are two primary methods of reducing these loads:

- 1) Diverting the acidic fellmongery pickle liquor away from the anaerobic pond and discharging it directly to the aerated loop section of the WWTP. This proposal is being assessed internally (2015).

- 2) Catalytic oxidation of the high strength fellmongery sulphide waste streams. The success of this operation is dependent on the ability to maintain the oxidised liquors in an aerobic state to prevent reversion to odorous sulphides. With the current configuration this is not possible but oxidation is planned as part of a future WWT upgrade.

5.6.2 Future Operation

An upgrade to the WWTP is planned to be fully operational by 2030. The proposed upgrade is likely to consist of a new covered anaerobic lagoon, a Biological Nitrogen Removal Lagoon (aerated) with clarifier and biosolids handling systems. It is proposed that approximately one third of the raw wastewater is diverted through this upgraded section for targeted nutrient reduction before entering the existing WWTP beyond the anaerobic pond.

A risk to odour management is if the reduced organic load to the anaerobic lagoon allows the deterioration of the scum cover. It is not predicted to do so but if it does and manipulation of fat loads was ineffective, then consideration would be given to using a smaller pond (disused anaerobic pond 2) and installing an artificial cover and gas capture and flare system.

The new anaerobic pond will be covered and emitted gases captured and either flared or used as an energy source. This should ensure that odours from the new anaerobic pond will be adequately mitigated.

The new BNR pond must be operated and maintained with good quality instrumentation and automatic oxygen control to avoid abnormal operating conditions and the associated generation of odours.

The discharge of dewatered biosolids to land is proposed. Odour management of this activity will be detailed in a Biosolids Management Plan to be prepared and incorporated into this document before this activity commences. (Condition 29)

A Wastewater Treatment Upgrade Plan is to be prepared and submitted to Environment Southland by XXXX. (Condition 12 of Consent No XX). This up-grade plan is to address measures to manage odours from the wastewater treatment upgrade including from the proposed disposal of biosolids (Condition 29). It should:

- (i) Identify appropriate methods that will be undertaken as part of the overall plant upgrade in order to reduce fugitive odour emissions from the existing wastewater treatment system. This could include but not be limited to the oxidation of sulphides within the waste lime wash liquors.
- (ii) Identify appropriate methods that will be undertaken as part of the overall plant upgrade in order to manage and minimise fugitive odour emissions from the upgraded treatment plant. This shall include but not be limited to:
 - a. A description of the potential sources of odour associated with the wastewater treatment plant upgrade;
 - b. Methods to manage or minimise odours arising from the wastewater treatment plant upgrade including the storage and application of biosolids and design and management of the monofill sites;
 - c. Ongoing monitoring of the wastewater treatment upgrade with respect to potential odour sources and reporting requirements.

Any necessary actions identified as part of the wastewater treatment upgrade plan are to be implemented (Condition 30).

A review of the effectiveness of odour reduction measures is to be carried out within 12 months of the upgraded WWTP being fully operational. It is the responsibility of the Environmental Coordinator to ensure this is carried out and reported to Environment Southland. If the report identifies that any changes are necessary these must be implemented in agreement with ES and within 3 months of reporting. (Condition 31)

5.7 Odour Compliance

5.7.1 Off-site Odours

Plant activities are to be managed to ensure that there are no discharges to air that are noxious, dangerous, offensive or objectionable to the extent that it causes an adverse effect at or beyond the boundary of the site in the opinion of an officer of Environment Southland. (Condition 26)

If a non-compliance with this condition is confirmed, the likely source of the odour is to be investigated and reported to Environment Southland along with any actions to be implemented to reduce or manage the odour. This report is to be provided to Environment Southland within 25 days of the identification of the non-compliance. (Condition 27)

5.7.2 Odour Complaints

A log of all odour complaints received by the Plant is to be maintained on Form PRO 117. This record is to include:

- The location where the odour was detected by the complainant
- The date and time when the odour was detected
- A description of the odour character, intensity and duration of exposure
- The most likely cause of the odour detected
- Note if there were any abnormal activities at or discharges from the Plant that may have resulted in the complaint
- Weather conditions at the time of the complaint

This log is to be provided to Environment Southland upon request. (Condition 28)

The primary point of contact for receipt of complaints is the Environmental Coordinator. If a complaint is received within a timeframe that allows it to be meaningfully investigated, the Environmental Coordinator should visit the location where the odour was detected and attempt to confirm character and intensity, and particularly wind direction, in order to identify a source if possible. If the complainant's identity is known a response should be provided to them.

Outside normal working hours contact can be made with the security watchman at the plant. A list of personnel to respond to complaints is retained in the watch-house and one will be contacted by the watchman as appropriate. This list generally includes the Environmental Coordinator, Water Services Supervisor, Engineering Manager, and Plant Manager.

5.7.3 Community Meetings

A community meeting for Lorneville neighbours is held each year, usually in July or August. Invitations to this by way of leaflet drop are extended at least a week prior to the meeting. Feedback from this meeting with respect to odours from the Plant should be assessed to determine if there is a previously unidentified issue and if actions should be taken to remedy this.

6.0 Attachments

NIL

7.0 Document Amendment Register

Revision	Date	Description
001	5/07/2007	Issued for use
002	30/08/2012	Environmental Manager changed to Environmental Coordinator or Group Environmental Manager throughout; 3.0 additions & updates; 5.1 reworded, added responsibility for reporting non-conformances; 5.3 reworded; 5.4 added batch fed, PRO 117 added for recording incidents, document code added, 24 changed to 48 hours for blood processing, document named added to LNV 2712, site name corrected; 5.5 saveall removed, reworded, contractor name updated, document codes added, site name corrected; 5.6 form name added, intranet portal renamed, PRO117 added for recording complaints.
003	7/03/2014	3.0 Regional Air Quality Plan added; 5.4 Rendering operation updated to reflect current process; Consent conditions updated to reflect requirements of the amended consent; 5.5 deleted 'previously Lincoln Ventures' from K2 Environmental; 5.6 access from SCADA deleted.
004		Rewritten for new resource consent