Note: This document was received as an email and has been converted to a PDF format

Response from Jack McIntyre

Please find included my reply to the 'right of reply' documents from Matt Bayliss, Dan Crocker & Peter Standring.

Some clarification is reqd in relation to the chart.

- 1. The per metre rate for the pipeline is now increased to \$817 per meter (divide distance into cost). This increases cost of all alternatives from any previous options.
- 2. Bridge length @ Opt A has to be \sim 190 meters to reach from West flood bank to the East bank/Rock St which in turn would require a rethink of bridge options.
- 3. Cost of that bridge would increase due to this, ~\$5.5m Divided by 155, then multiply by 190m length required.=\$ 6,741,935.
- 4. There are further distance and technical error's, but since bringing them into the discussion would violate the conditions and limitations imposed on this right of reply and introduce further bridge & pipeline option's they will have to wait for common sense to prevail. Please do not delude yourselves into thinking from my lack of comment on all these options proposed here are the right one. In order to do good service to the Gore & District Ratepayers a strong rethink is required.

	Distances' Metres'	Distance' Metres'	Costs Bridge	Costs Pipeline	Total Costs
Option 'A', Rock Street.	155 "Bridge length" at this point is 190m & Floodbank height.	4050 Extra pipe reqd would increase this.	\$ 6,500,000 Extra length 35m Increase bridge cost up to ~\$6,741,935.	\$ 3,527,550 Minimal pipeline cost increase other than alignment @ end.	\$ 9,027,550 \$ 10,269,485
? Prefered? Option, Surrey Street.	90	2900	\$ 3,700,000	\$ 2,525,900	\$ 6,225,900
Option 'B' (Denton St)	90	2410	\$ 4,700,000	\$ 2,099,110	\$ 6,799,110
Option 'C',(Maitland St)	100	1530	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 1,332,630	\$ 6,332,630