

Waimatuku Estuary 2011

Fine Scale Monitoring and Macrophyte Mapping



Prepared
for
**Environment
Southland**
July
2011

Cover Photo: Waimatuku Estuary, near Transect H. Inside cover: Eroding marram grass dune near the estuary mouth.



Waimatuku Estuary 2011

Fine Scale Monitoring and Macrophyte Mapping

Prepared for
Environment Southland

By

Leigh Stevens and Barry Robertson

Contents

Waimatuku Estuary - Executive Summary	vii
1. Introduction	1
2. Methods	4
3. Results and Discussion	7
4. Monitoring.	12
5. Management.	12
6. Acknowledgements	13
7. References	13
Appendix 1. Details on Analytical Methods	16
Appendix 2. 2011 Survey Results	16

List of Figures

Figure 1. Waimatuku Estuary.	1
Figure 2. Small tidal river mouth estuary - Response to increasing eutrophication.	2
Figure 3. Percent cover categories for aquatic vegetation.	4
Figure 4. Sampling sites in the Waimatuku Estuary.	5
Figure 5. Dominant macrophytes and macroalgae, Waimatuku Estuary.. . . .	7
Figure 6. Longitudinal profile (river to sea) of water depth (at low water) and substrate type, 21 Feb. 2011.	8
Figure 7. Examples of thick <i>Ulva</i> , filamentous algae, and benthic microalgae, 21 Feb. 2011.	9
Figure 8. Grain size, at 3 subtidal sites (composite across transect), February 2010, 2011.	10
Figure 9. Total organic carbon at 3 subtidal sites (composite across transect), February 2010, 2011.. . . .	10
Figure 10. Total phosphorus at 3 subtidal sites (composite across transect), February 2010, 2011.	10
Figure 11. Total nitrogen at 3 subtidal sites (composite across transect), February 2010, 2011.	10
Figure 12. Waimatuku Estuary - condition rating and trophic state, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011.	11

List of Tables

Table 1. Summary of the major issues affecting most NZ estuaries.	3
Table 2. Summary of the broad and fine scale EMP indicators (shaded cells used in the current report).	3
Table 3. Sediment chemistry results (composite sample) for Waimatuku Estuary, February 2011.. . . .	7

All photos by Wriggle except where noted otherwise.



WAIMATUKU ESTUARY - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Waimatuku Estuary

Vulnerability Assessment

Identifies issues and recommends monitoring and management. Completed in 2008 (Robertson and Stevens 2008)

Waimatuku Estuary Issues

Moderate eutrophication
Excessive sedimentation
Habitat Loss (Saltmarsh, and terrestrial margin)

Monitoring

Broad Scale Mapping

Sediment type
Saltmarsh
Seagrass
Macroalgae
Land margin

5 - 10 yearly
First undertaken in 2008.

Fine Scale Monitoring

Grain size, RPD, Organic Content
Nutrients, Macroalgae,

3-4yr Baseline, then 5 yearly
Baseline started 2009.
Next survey 2012.

Condition Ratings

Area soft mud, Area saltmarsh, Area seagrass, Area terrestrial margin, RPD depth, Organic content, N and P,

Other Information

Previous reports, Observations, Expert opinion

ESTUARY CONDITION

Moderate Eutrophication
Low Sedimentation
Low Toxicity
Habitat Degraded (saltmarsh, terrestrial margin)

Recommended Management

- Limit intensive landuse.
- Set nutrient, sediment guidelines.
- Margin vegetation enhancement.
- Manage for sea level rise.
- Enhance saltmarsh.
- Manage weeds and pests.

This report summarises the results of the second year of fine scale monitoring of Waimatuku Estuary, a 2km long, shallow, tidal river mouth estuary (20ha) that periodically closes to the sea. An outline of the process used for estuary monitoring and management by ES is presented in the margin flow diagram, and the following table summarises fine scale monitoring results, condition ratings, overall estuary condition, and monitoring and management recommendations.

FINE SCALE MONITORING RESULTS

- Sediment Oxygen: Redox Potential Discontinuity (RPD) was "poor-fair" (0-3cm deep) in the upper-middle estuary, and "good" (RPD >5cm) in the lower estuary.
- The indicator of organic enrichment (Total Organic Carbon) was at low concentrations.
- Sediment nutrient enrichment indicators for total phosphorus were at moderate-high concentrations, and total nitrogen at low-moderate concentrations.
- Sediments had moderately high mud concentrations (~15% mud) and 33-55% gravel in the upper/middle estuary, and were dominated by sand (96%) in the lower estuary.
- Nuisance macroalgal cover was moderate and at nuisance levels in the upper and middle estuary, while there was extensive benthic microalgae growing on lower estuary sands.
- High value seagrass (*Ruppia*) was present in the upper estuary.

CONDITION RATINGS

	2010			2011		
	Site D	Site E	Site G	Site D	Site E	Site G
RPD Profile (Sediment oxygenation)	Good-V. Good	Good-V. Good	Good-V. Good	Poor	Poor-Fair	Good-V. Good
TOC (Total Organic Carbon)	Good	Very Good	Very Good	Very Good	Good	Very Good
Total Nitrogen (TN) in sediment	Fair	Good	Very Good	Good	Fair	Very Good
Total Phosphorus (TP) in sediment	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair

ESTUARY CONDITION AND ISSUES

The second year of baseline monitoring (undertaken at low tide when the estuary was open to the sea), showed the middle/upper estuary had relatively poor sediment conditions (shallow RPD, high nutrients, nuisance macroalgae, elevated mud concentrations), particularly in areas where salinity stratification was present. Nuisance macroalgal growths were moderate, but had increased over the previous 12 months in the middle estuary, while intertidal and subtidal flats in the lower estuary supported a thick benthic microalgal growth not evident in 2010.

These conditions reflect a period of restricted flushing following natural constriction of the estuary mouth, and indicate how high catchment inputs of nutrients concentrate under such conditions fuelling undesirable growths in the estuary. Effects are compounded by the absence of an extensive vegetated margin to help assimilate and filter excess nutrients and sediment.

To prevent a shift to increased nuisance macroalgal blooms and reduced sediment oxygenation, and to prevent the loss of the native seagrass community in the upper estuary, it is critical to ensure nutrient loads to the estuary do not exceed the assimilative capacity of the estuary when it is most vulnerable. It is also important to ensure the maintenance of adequate high value habitat (i.e. saltmarsh, seagrass and a natural vegetated terrestrial margin).

RECOMMENDED MONITORING AND MANAGEMENT

Continue fine scale monitoring (including macroalgal mapping) annually for the next 1-2 years to establish a baseline (next scheduled for February 2012), and undertake broad scale habitat mapping every 5 years (next scheduled for summer 2013).

The 2011 fine scale monitoring results reinforced the need to manage nutrient and fine sediment sources entering the estuary. This involves three key steps;

1. Develop appropriate catchment nutrient and sediment load guidelines,
2. Estimate existing catchment loads, and
3. Introduce management actions to ensure loads do not exceed guidelines.

In order to improve estuary function, it is also recommended that steps be taken to increase the extent of high value estuary habitat (saltmarsh and natural vegetated margin) wherever possible.

1. INTRODUCTION

OVERVIEW

Maintaining an understanding of the condition and risks to coastal and estuarine habitats is critical to Environment Southland (ES) in their resource management role for Southland. Recently, ES undertook a vulnerability assessment of its region's coastline to establish priorities for a long-term monitoring programme for the region (Robertson and Stevens 2008). The assessment identified Waimatuku Estuary as being a priority for monitoring which ES began in February 2009; the work being undertaken by Wriggle Coastal Management using the National Estuary Monitoring Protocol (EMP) (Robertson et al. 2002) plus recent extensions.

The Waimatuku Estuary monitoring consists of three components:

- 1. Ecological Vulnerability Assessment** of the estuary to major issues (Table 1) and appropriate monitoring design. This component has been completed for Waimatuku Estuary and is reported on in Robertson and Stevens (2008).
- 2. Broad Scale Habitat Mapping** (EMP approach). This component (Table 2), which documents the key habitats within each estuary and changes to these habitats over time, is reported on in Robertson and Stevens (2008).
- 3. Fine Scale Monitoring** (Synoptic survey and EMP approach). Monitoring of selected physical and chemical characteristics (water clarity, salinity, depth, sediment oxygenation, muddiness, presence of macrophytes and nuisance macroalgae - see Table 2). This component, which provides detailed information on the condition of the Waimatuku Estuary, began with a synoptic survey in February 2009 (Robertson and Stevens 2009) with monitoring scheduled annually for three years to establish a baseline, then every five years or as determined by condition ratings.

The first year of baseline monitoring of the key fine scale indicators of sediment nutrients - total nitrogen (TN) and phosphorus (TP); Total Organic Carbon (TOC); and grain size, as well as physical and chemical characteristics described in (3) above was reported on in Stevens and Robertson (2010). The current report describes the second annual survey of baseline conditions undertaken in February 2011.

Disease risk indicators on the Southland coast are assessed separately in ES's recreational water quality monitoring programme, while heavy metal toxicity was considered to be at such a low risk it was not considered necessary to monitor.



Figure 1. Waimatuku Estuary.

(Photo: Environment Southland 2008)


Waimatuku Estuary is a small (20ha at high tide), shallow, "tidal river mouth" estuary that drains to the sea through a sand dominated barrier beach and modified marram grass duneland (Figures 1 and 4). The estuary mouth periodically constricts naturally reducing flushing, and is artificially opened a couple of times per year.

The surrounding 150km² catchment (dominated by sheep, beef and dairy farming) contributes the highest nutrient loadings per unit area of the estuaries currently monitored in Southland (TN 2877mg.m⁻².day⁻¹, TP 96mg.m⁻².day⁻¹ based on NIWA's CLUES catchment surface water model outputs). These very elevated nutrient inputs make the estuary highly susceptible to eutrophication as the assimilative capacity of the estuary is very quickly exceeded when the mouth is constricted. Currently, despite most catchment inputs flowing directly to the sea, nuisance macroalgal growths (e.g. *Ulva intestinalis*) are common, particularly in summer in the middle estuary.





Susceptibility to eutrophication is increased by margin modification that has significantly reduced filtering and assimilation of nutrients and sediments. Only small patches of saltmarsh (<1ha - predominantly herbfield) remain in the lower estuary where it runs parallel to Oreti Beach, and most of the back dune area has been levelled, grassed, and is used for grazing. A narrow strip of tall fescue and flax separates the upper estuary from fenced pasture.

Consequently, the major threat to the estuary is increased eutrophication due to elevated nutrient inputs, exacerbated by periodic mouth constriction to the sea and consequent restricted flushing. The likely pattern of increasing eutrophication in response to increased nutrients (particularly nitrogen) is presented in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Small tidal river mouth estuary - Response to increasing eutrophication.



Conceptual representation of estuary response to increased nutrients in tidal river mouth estuaries.



Stage 1. In their pristine state, these small, narrow estuaries are adequately flushed with river and marine tidal waters. Nutrient and sediment inputs are low and their clear, shallow, waters are dominated by submerged macrophytes where sediments are stable (e.g. seagrass and *Ruppia*) and contain adequate nutrients. Nuisance macroalgae and phytoplankton growth is low. Sediment quality and biodiversity is high.

Stage 2. As nutrient and sediment levels increase, nuisance macroalgae (e.g. *Enteromorpha*), and phytoplankton growth increases. Native macrophyte growth (e.g. seagrass and *Ruppia*), sediment oxygenation, and water clarity declines. Introduced, aggressive macrophytes can become dominant in the brackish upper estuary. The bed becomes muddier and a surface sulphide layer is common. If flushing is reduced due to freshwater abstraction or mouth constriction, the susceptibility to eutrophication is enhanced and biodiversity declines.

Stage 3. As nutrient inputs become elevated, native macrophytes are replaced with nuisance short-lived macroalgae and phytoplankton. Introduced macrophytes can also become dominant in upper estuary brackish areas. Water clarity is often low, muddy sediments are anoxic close to the surface and sulphide rich, and sediment macrofauna is dominated by high numbers of a few tolerant species only.

Stage 4. Nutrients in sediments and water continue at high concentrations, but a lowering of the N:P ratio results in nuisance cyanobacteria and toxic bloom events. Sediment macrofauna are often absent, but nuisance macroalgae and phytoplankton are still present. Water clarity is low and sediment quality poor with anoxic and sulphide-rich muds.

1. Introduction (Continued)

Table 1. Summary of the major issues affecting most NZ estuaries.

Major Estuary Issues	
Sedimentation	Because estuaries are a sink for sediments, their natural cycle is to slowly infill with fine muds and clays. Prior to European settlement they were dominated by sandy sediments and had low sedimentation rates (<1 mm/year). In the last 150 years, with catchment clearance, wetland drainage, and land development for agriculture and settlements, New Zealand's estuaries have begun to infill rapidly. Today, average sedimentation rates in our estuaries are typically 10 times or more higher than before humans arrived.
Eutrophication (Nutrients)	Increased nutrient richness (e.g. nitrogen and phosphorus) of estuarine ecosystems stimulates the production and abundance of fast-growing algae, such as phytoplankton, and short-lived macroalgae (e.g. sea lettuce). Fortunately, because most New Zealand estuaries are well flushed, phytoplankton blooms are generally not a major problem. Of greater concern is the mass blooms of green and red macroalgae, mainly of the genera <i>Enteromorpha</i> , <i>Cladophora</i> , <i>Ulva</i> , and <i>Gracilaria</i> which are now widespread on intertidal flats and shallow subtidal areas of nutrient-enriched New Zealand estuaries. They present a significant nuisance problem, especially when loose mats accumulate on shorelines and decompose. Blooms also have major ecological impacts on water and sediment quality (e.g. reduced clarity, physical smothering, lack of oxygen), affecting or displacing the animals that live there.
Disease Risk	Runoff from farmland and human wastewater often carries a variety of disease-causing organisms or pathogens (including viruses, bacteria and protozoans) that, once discharged into the estuarine environment, can survive for some time. Every time humans come into contact with seawater that has been contaminated with human and animal faeces, we expose ourselves to these organisms and risk getting sick. Aside from serious health risks posed to humans through recreational contact and shellfish consumption, pathogen contamination can also cause economic losses due to closed commercial shellfish beds. Diseases linked to pathogens include gastroenteritis, salmonellosis, hepatitis A, and noroviruses.
Toxic Contamination	In the last 60 years, New Zealand has seen a huge range of synthetic chemicals introduced to estuaries through urban and agricultural stormwater runoff, industrial discharges and air pollution. Many of them are toxic in minute concentrations. Of particular concern are polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), heavy metals, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and pesticides. These chemicals collect in sediments and bio-accumulate in fish and shellfish, causing health risks to people and marine life.
Habitat Loss	Estuaries have many different types of habitats including shellfish beds, seagrass meadows, saltmarshes (rushlands, herbfields, reedlands etc.), forested wetlands, beaches, river deltas, and rocky shores. The continued health and biodiversity of estuarine systems depends on the maintenance of high-quality habitat. Loss of habitat negatively affects fisheries, animal populations, filtering of water pollutants, and the ability of shorelines to resist storm-related erosion. Within New Zealand, habitat degradation or loss is common-place with the major causes cited as sea level rise, population pressures on margins, dredging, drainage, reclamation, pest and weed invasion, reduced flows (damming and irrigation), over-fishing, polluted runoff and wastewater discharges.

Table 2. Summary of the broad and fine scale EMP indicators (shaded cells used in the current report).

Issue	Indicator	Method
Sedimentation	Soft Mud Area	Broad scale mapping - estimates the area and change in soft mud habitat over time.
Sedimentation	Sedimentation Rate	Fine scale measurement of sediment deposition.
Sedimentation	Sedimentation Grain Size	Fine scale measurement of sediment grain size, including changes over time.
Eutrophication	Nuisance Macroalgal Cover	Broad scale mapping - estimates the change in the area of nuisance macroalgal growth (e.g. sea lettuce (<i>Ulva</i>), <i>Gracilaria</i> and <i>Enteromorpha</i>) over time.
Eutrophication	Organic and Nutrient Enrichment	Chemical analysis of total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and total organic carbon in replicate samples from the upper 2cm of sediment.
Eutrophication	Redox Profile	Measurement of depth of redox potential discontinuity profile (RPD) in sediment estimates likely presence of deoxygenated, reducing conditions.
Toxins	Contamination in Bottom Sediments	Chemical analysis of indicator metals (total recoverable cadmium, chromium, copper, nickel, lead and zinc) in replicate samples from the upper 2cm of sediment.
Toxins, Eutrophication, Sedimentation	Biodiversity of Bottom Dwelling Animals	Type and number of animals living in the upper 15cm of sediments (infauna in 0.0133m ² replicate cores), and on the sediment surface (epifauna in 0.25m ² replicate quadrats).
Habitat Loss	Saltmarsh Area	Broad scale mapping - estimates the area and change in saltmarsh habitat over time.
Habitat Loss	Seagrass Area	Broad scale mapping - estimates the area and change in seagrass habitat over time.
Habitat Loss	Vegetated Terrestrial Buffer	Broad scale mapping - estimates the area and change in buffer habitat over time.

2. METHODS




Figure 3. Percent cover categories for aquatic vegetation.

Ten previously established transect sampling sites in Waimatuku Estuary (Figure 4, Robertson and Stevens 2009), representing the range of different conditions present throughout the estuary, were visited by two scientists on 21 February 2011 when the lagoon was open to the sea. At each site, sampling was undertaken for key indicators of estuary condition as described below. The purpose was to collect information which, through repeat sampling, can be used as a rapid and robust method to indicate change within the estuary.

At each site, a 5-6cm deep layer of the surface sediments was collected with a garden hoe (area 15 x 15cm) and carefully brought to the surface (a canoe was used for sampling at the deeper sites). At the surface, the sample was photographed and records taken of;

- The taxa, height, percentage cover, and life stage of aquatic vegetation (Figure 3 gives examples of percentage cover estimates for macrophytes).
- The sediment type and depth to the blackened sulphide rich layer (Redox Potential Discontinuity layer - RPD).

Composite samples of the top 20mm of sediment (each approx. 250gms) were collected from 5 places across transects D, E and G. These transects, located near the middle estuary, capture the transition from the deeper more riverine upper estuary to the shallow intertidal lower estuary. Chilled sediment samples were sent to R.J. Hill Laboratories for analysis of:

- * Grain size/Particle size distribution (% mud, sand, gravel).
- * Nutrients - total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), and
- * Total Organic Carbon (TOC).

Analytical details are provided in Appendix 1.

In addition, the water column at each site was sampled for:

- Secchi disc clarity
- Depth
- Dissolved oxygen
- Temperature
- Salinity (at surface and bottom)

A visual examination of the whole estuary was also undertaken to assess the extent of aquatic vegetation occurring outside of the chosen transects. Appendix 2 presents the 2011 field measurements. Results of the 2010 monitoring are reported in Stevens and Robertson (2010).

CONDITION RATINGS

A series of interim fine scale estuary "condition ratings" (presented on page 6) have been proposed for Waimatuku Estuary (based on the ratings developed for Southland's estuaries - e.g. Robertson & Stevens 2006). The ratings are based on a review of estuary monitoring data, guideline criteria, and expert opinion. They are designed to be used in combination with each other (usually involving expert input) when evaluating overall estuary condition and deciding on appropriate management.

2. Methods (Continued)



Figure 4. Sampling sites in the Waimatuku Estuary.

2. Methods (Continued)

CONDITION RATINGS

The condition ratings presented below include an “early warning trigger” to highlight rapid or unexpected change, and each rating has a recommended monitoring and management response. In most cases initial management is to further assess an issue and consider what response actions may be appropriate (e.g. develop an Evaluation and Response Plan - ERP).

Total Nitrogen

In shallow estuaries like the Waimatuku, the sediment compartment is often the largest nutrient pool in the system, and nitrogen exchange between the water column and sediments can play a large role in determining trophic status and the growth of algae.

TOTAL NITROGEN CONDITION RATING

RATING	DEFINITION	RECOMMENDED RESPONSE
Very Good	<500mg/kg	Monitor at 5 year intervals after baseline established
Good	500-2000mg/kg	Monitor at 5 year intervals after baseline established
Fair	2000-4000mg/kg	Monitor at 2 year intervals and manage source
Poor	>4000mg/kg	Monitor at 2 year intervals and manage source
Early Warning Trigger	>1.3 x Mean of highest baseline year	Initiate Evaluation and Response Plan

Total Phosphorus

In shallow estuaries like the Waimatuku, the sediment compartment is often the largest nutrient pool in the system, and phosphorus exchange between the water column and sediments can play a large role in determining trophic status and the growth of algae.

TOTAL PHOSPHORUS CONDITION RATING

RATING	DEFINITION	RECOMMENDED RESPONSE
Very Good	<200mg/kg	Monitor at 5 year intervals after baseline established
Good	200-500mg/kg	Monitor at 5 year intervals after baseline established
Fair	500-1000mg/kg	Monitor at 2 year intervals and manage source
Poor	>1000mg/kg	Monitor at 2 year intervals and manage source
Early Warning Trigger	>1.3 x Mean of highest baseline year	Initiate Evaluation and Response Plan

Total Organic Carbon

Estuaries with high sediment organic content can result in anoxic sediments and bottom water, release of excessive nutrients, and adverse impacts to biota - all symptoms of eutrophication.

TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON CONDITION RATING

RATING	DEFINITION	RECOMMENDED RESPONSE
Very Good	<1%	Monitor at 5 year intervals after baseline established
Good	1-2%	Monitor at 5 year intervals after baseline established
Fair	2-5%	Monitor at 2 year intervals and manage source
Poor	>5%	Monitor at 2 year intervals and manage source
Early Warning Trigger	>1.3 x Mean of highest baseline year	Initiate Evaluation and Response Plan

Redox Potential Discontinuity

The RPD is the grey layer between oxygenated yellow-brown sediments near the surface and deeper anoxic black sediments. The RPD marks the transition between oxygenated and reduced conditions and is an effective ecological barrier for most, but not all, sediment-dwelling species. A rising RPD will force most macrofauna towards the sediment surface to where oxygen is available. In addition, nutrient availability in estuaries is generally much greater where sediments are anoxic, and the tendency for sediments to become anoxic is much greater if the sediments are muddy, with consequent exacerbation of the eutrophication process.

RPD CONDITION RATING

RATING	DEFINITION	RECOMMENDED RESPONSE
Very Good	>10cm depth below surface	Monitor at 5 year intervals after baseline established
Good	3-10cm depth below sediment surface	Monitor at 5 year intervals after baseline established
Fair	1-3cm depth below sediment surface	Monitor at 5 year intervals. Initiate ERP
Poor	<1cm depth below sediment surface	Monitor at 2 year intervals. Initiate ERP
Early Warning Trigger	>1.3 x Mean of highest baseline year	Initiate Evaluation and Response Plan

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A summary of the results of the 21 February 2011 fine scale monitoring of Waimatuku Estuary is presented below, with detailed results of aquatic vegetation, sediment type, sediment oxygenation (RPD), and water quality (secchi disc clarity, dissolved oxygen, salinity and temperature), contained in Appendix 2. A summary of the sediment chemistry results and condition ratings are presented in Figures 8-11.

Table 3. Sediment chemistry results (composite sample) for Waimatuku Estuary, February 2011.

Site	RPD (cm)	Salinity (ppt)	TOC (%)	Mud (%)	Sand (%)	Gravel (%)	TN (mg/kg)	TP (mg/kg)
Transect D	0-1	0.0	0.9	15.9	50.8	33.2	1300	660
Transect E	0-3	24.2	1.82	12.8	32.8	54.4	2200	660
Transect G	>10	25.1	0.28	3.7	96.0	0.4	<500	560

Aquatic Macrophyte and Macroalgal Cover


As in 2009 and 2010, the lower Waimatuku Stream and the upper Waimatuku Estuary supported growths of rooted aquatic macrophytes. *Ranunculus trichophyllus* (water buttercup) was relatively abundant in the clear, 0-0.5m deep, flowing freshwater of the lower Waimatuku Stream section, growing in 1-2m long strands in the clean gravel bed, while *Mimulus guttatus* (monkey musk) was common along the margins.

In the brackish upper estuary, the native seagrass *Ruppia megacarpa* (horse's mane weed - Figure 4) and introduced *Potamogeton crispus* (pondweed) were the dominant plants. *Ruppia* was present throughout the upper estuary as occasional dense patches in the 0-1m depth range. *P. crispus* was present at similar depths, but restricted to patches in the upper estuary reaches.

Nuisance macroalgae (*Ulva (Enteromorpha) intestinalis*) was common throughout the estuary, and filamentous algal growths were present as dense mats among *Ruppia* beds in the brackish upper estuary, and on sediments in the upper-middle estuary. These algal growths were almost certainly restricting *Ruppia* growth through smothering, and reducing water and sediment quality wherever the current was not too strong, contributing to black, anoxic, sulphide-rich surface sediments (see Figure 7).

The lower estuary was relatively clean of macroalgae (apart from occasional patches of *Gracilaria* and *U. intestinalis* present in the low tide channel near the estuary mouth), but supported a thick film of microalgae on the intertidal sediment surface (Figure 7).

Figure 5. Dominant macrophytes and macroalgae, Waimatuku Estuary.



***Ruppia megacarpa* (Horse's mane weed)** is a native surface-flowering submerged aquatic annual or perennial; stems 20-30 cm long and are often zigzag in form. Grows in fresh to hypersaline coastal lakes, lagoons and estuaries and is relatively common in the 0-1.5m depth range (depending on water clarity).



***Potamogeton crispus* (Curly pondweed)** is an introduced species that is tolerant of slightly brackish as well as freshwater. It can survive in low light and low temperatures, and prefers high nutrient water. It spreads mostly by means of vegetative buds (turions) that germinate in autumn, grow vigorously in spring, and die off in the summer. The decaying plant matter can make the water extremely enriched, encourage nuisance algal mats near the sediment surface, inhibit the growth of native aquatics, and can interfere with boating and other water recreation. These plants germinate in autumn, grow vigorously in spring, and die off in the summer.



***Ranunculus trichophyllus* (Water buttercup)** is an introduced species common in freshwater and slightly saline waterbodies. Stems are up to 2m long, leaves are narrow and bright green. Flowers are white with a yellow centre.



Ulva (Enteromorpha) intestinalis, a nuisance green macroalgae, is found worldwide, and can grow to nuisance proportions in nutrient enriched estuaries, coastal lagoons and embayments. It can cause sediment deterioration, oxygen depletion, bad odours and have adverse impacts to biota.



Gracilaria spp., a relatively small (10-25cm) red macroalgae that grows in dense tufts both subtidally and intertidally in harbours, estuaries and moderately exposed open coasts, on rock, pebbles and shells in sandy/muddy areas. Generally bright crimson to dark maroon, but gets bleached by the sun.

3. Results and Discussion (Continued)

Water Depth and Level

While the estuary was open to the sea when sampled, and had a similar low tide water depth (predominantly <1.5m, and the deepest area (~2m) at Transect B), compared to the previous two surveys in 2009 and 2010, the estuary was noticeably slower to drain in 2011 due to increased sand deposition near the estuary mouth.

Sediment Type and Anoxic Layer

The substrate showed little change compared to 2009 or 2010. It comprised predominantly clean gravels and cobbles in the lower Waimatuku Stream, clean muddy gravels in the upper estuary, muddy sands and gravels in the middle estuary (with increased gravel presence in 2011), and clean mobile sands in the lower estuary (Figure 6). Sediment RPD depth was >5cm in the lower estuary, a condition rating of “good”, but with a “poor-fair” rating at sites B, C, D and E. The anoxic, sulphide-rich sediments at these sites, were similar to those observed in 2008 and 2009.



Measuring water quality, Site E, Waimatuku Estuary.




Figure 6. Longitudinal profile (river to sea) of maximum water depth (at low water) and substrate type, 21 February 2011.

Salinity, Temperature and Dissolved Oxygen

Salinity measurements showed that in 2011 upper estuary transects A and B were freshwater-dominated and unstratified during low flow and low tide conditions, salinities ranging from 0 to 1.3ppt (Appendix 2). In the middle and lower estuary (transects C-H), surface salinity ranged from 2.5-3.0ppt, with bottom salinity 24.2-25.1ppt. Sites C-E reflected seawater trapped below 0.8m, while sites F-H were freshly draining tidal inputs stratified at ~0.4m. The relatively shallow downstream sites (I and J) were well mixed with salinity 7.6-8.2ppt.

Water temperature varied from 19.4°C in the upper estuary to 17.7°C at the estuary mouth and there was little difference between surface and bottom temperatures. Dissolved oxygen concentrations in the water column were relatively high in the upper estuary (70-115% saturation), and slightly lower in the middle (68-93%) and lower estuary (76-92%). The lowest values were consistently measured in the bottom waters.

Water Clarity (Secchi Disc)

In the 2011 survey, the estuary was moderately clear with the bottom visible at all sites in the estuary except at the deepest site (B) where Secchi disk clarity was 1.0m.

3. Results and Discussion (Continued)



Figure 7. Examples of thick *Ulva intestinalis* and filamentous algae overlying anoxic sediments from site E (top), filamentous algae covering *Ruppia megacarpa* from the upper estuary (left), and benthic microalgae on sands (right) in the lower Waimatuku Estuary, 21 February 2011.

3. Results and Discussion (Continued)




Figure 8. Grain size, at 3 subtidal sites (composite across transect), February 2010, 2011.




Figure 9. Total organic carbon at 3 subtidal sites (composite across transect), February 2010, 2011.




Figure 10. Total phosphorus at 3 subtidal sites (composite across transect), February 2010, 2011.




Figure 11. Total nitrogen at 3 subtidal sites (composite across transect), February 2010, 2011.

In 2011, the second year of a three year baseline survey was completed to collect information on key indicators of eutrophication, the primary threat identified for the Waimatuku Estuary (Robertson and Stevens 2008, 2009).

GRAIN SIZE (%MUD, SAND, GRAVEL)

Grain size measurements indicate the muddiness of a particular site. Because of greater relative surface area, muds are generally associated with higher organic content and nutrient concentrations than sands and gravels. Thus muddier areas generally act as a sink concentrating these catchment inputs. Results from the three transects sampled (Figure 8) show muds from the catchment accumulate predominantly in the deeper reaches of the upper estuary, indicating it is more at risk of sediment eutrophication effects than the better flushed middle and lower estuary which are much sandier.

ORGANIC MATTER (TOC)

Fluctuations in organic input are considered to be one of the principal causes of faunal change in estuarine and near-shore benthic environments, and elevated organic enrichment causes changes in physical and biological parameters, which in turn have effects on the sedimentary and biological structure of an area.

The indicator of organic enrichment (TOC) in 2011 was at low concentrations (<2%) at all sites (Figure 9) and met the "good" to "very good" condition ratings.

TOTAL PHOSPHORUS

Total phosphorus (TP), a key nutrient in the eutrophication process, was in the "fair" category in 2011 indicating "moderate enrichment" (Figure 10). The high phosphorus concentrations in sediments at Transect G, which had a very low mud content (3.7%), indicates large catchment inputs of phosphorus to the estuary.

This means that the Waimatuku Estuary sediments have a moderate - high store of P in the sediments (sourced from both recent and historical catchment inputs).

TOTAL NITROGEN

Total nitrogen (TN) the other key nutrient in the eutrophication process, was rated in the "fair", "good" and "very good" categories (Figure 11). This means that the Waimatuku sediments have a low-moderate store of N in the sediments (sourced from both recent and historical catchment inputs), with loads decreasing in the sandier lower estuary as mud content diminishes.

3. Results and Discussion (Continued)


The combined influence of high catchment nutrient inputs, periodic mouth closures, and limited assimilative capacity (exacerbated by the highly modified and scarce vegetated terrestrial margin and saltmarsh), makes Waimatuku Estuary highly susceptible to adverse impacts from eutrophication.

The 2011 results confirm the presence of excessive blooms of nuisance macroalgae concentrated in the middle and lower estuary, while the middle/upper estuary had relatively poor sediment conditions (shallow RPD, high nutrients, nuisance macroalgae, elevated mud concentrations), particularly in areas where salinity stratification was present. Intertidal and subtidal flats in the lower estuary supported a thick benthic microalgal growth not evident in 2010. These conditions place the estuary in a Stage 2 “moderate” state (Figure 12). Consequently, the native seagrass community in the upper estuary is at risk of being degraded and lost. Macroinvertebrates, fish, birdlife, and human use of the estuary is all likely to be adversely impacted.

At present, eutrophication symptoms are minimised by the short residence time of water within the estuary when the mouth is open to the sea which minimises the build up of nutrients. Despite this however, eutrophication symptoms have been evident every year since the estuary was monitored in 2008, with the 2011 results showing eutrophication symptoms increase very quickly and persist following even short duration estuary mouth closures. Discharges of elevated nutrient loads to the coast from the Waimatuku, plus Jacobs River and New River estuaries, may also be contributing to the presence of coastal algal blooms nearby at Oreti Beach.

These results highlight the priority need to manage nutrient inputs from the catchment. Saltmarsh and terrestrial margin habitat is also modified and scarce, so maintaining these in good condition will help provide important filtering and uptake of sediment and nutrients, and help other important parts of the ecosystem to thrive. Pathogens, the other key issue facing the estuary, are currently being addressed as part of Environment Southland’s state of the environment monitoring programme.

Figure 12. Waimatuku Estuary - condition rating and trophic state, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011.



Conceptual representation of estuary response to increased nutrients in tidal river mouth estuaries.

4. MONITORING

Waimatuku Estuary has been identified by ES as a priority for monitoring, and is a key part of ES's coastal monitoring programme. Based on the current monitoring results and those reported previously (Robertson and Stevens 2008, 2009; Stevens and Robertson 2010), it is recommended that monitoring continues as outlined below:

Fine Scale Macrophyte and Sediment Condition. Continue fine scale baseline monitoring for a further 1-2 years (next scheduled for Feb. 2012). Subsequently monitor at five yearly intervals or as determined by condition ratings. Monitoring should include:

- Seagrass and nuisance macroalgae presence, location, % cover and life stage (including salinity, depth and clarity at established transect sites).
- Sediment quality at 3 sites - broad scale (depth to RPD layer, sediment type) and fine scale (grain size, total nitrogen, total phosphorus and total organic carbon).

Undertake broad scale habitat mapping every 5 years (next due in summer 2013).

Water Quality. Monitor nutrients and turbidity in the lower Waimatuku Stream in order to assess the nutrient inflows to Waimatuku Estuary. In addition, characterise pathogen inputs to the estuary. Incorporate results into the 2012 estuary report.

Catchment Landuse, Freshwater Abstractions, Mouth Openings/Constrictions. Monitor key stressors including reduced flushing, catchment landuse, freshwater abstraction, mouth constriction, and changes to water level. Any significant changes to stressors should trigger an evaluation of the likely impact on estuary susceptibility.

5. MANAGEMENT

Eutrophication and sedimentation have been identified as major issues in Waimatuku Estuary, as has been the case for several other Southland estuaries (e.g. New River, Jacobs River, and Waituna Lagoon). To address these issues, it is recommended that appropriate catchment nutrient and sediment guideline criteria be developed for each estuary type in Southland, and that these guideline criteria are then used to assess the extent to which catchment loads meet these guidelines. Estuaries where guidelines are exceeded are prioritised for more extensive investigations, monitoring and management. The key steps in such an approach are as follows:

- Assign catchment nutrient and sediment load guideline criteria to each Southland estuary (using criteria appropriate to each type of estuary). Guideline criteria should be based on available catchment load/estuary response information from other relevant estuaries.
- Estimate catchment nutrient and suspended sediment loads to each estuary using available catchment models and stream monitoring data.
- Determine the extent to which each estuary meets guideline catchment load criteria.
- Rank estuaries according to exceedance of recommended guideline criteria.
- Assess the potential for requiring more detailed assessments of priority estuaries (e.g. estuary response modelling, stream and tributary monitoring, catchment load modelling).
- Develop plans for the effective management and restoration of priority estuaries.

Overall, if the approach is followed and the estuary and its surroundings are managed to ensure that the assimilative capacity is not breached, then the estuary will flourish and provide sustainable human use and ecological values in the long term.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This survey and report has been undertaken with the help and support of Greg Larkin (Coastal Scientist, Environment Southland).

7. REFERENCES

- Robertson, B.M., Gillespie, P.A., Asher, R.A., Frisk, S., Keeley, N.B., Hopkins, G.A., Thompson, S.J., Tuckey, B.J. 2002. *Estuarine Environmental Assessment and Monitoring: A National Protocol. Part A. Development, Part B. Appendices, and Part C. Application. Prepared for supporting Councils and the Ministry for the Environment, Sustainable Management Fund Contract No. 5096. Part A. 93p. Part B. 159p. Part C. 40p plus field sheets.*
- Robertson, B.M. and Stevens, L. 2009. *Waimatuku Estuary 2009 Synoptic Survey, Macrophyte Mapping and Vulnerability Assessment. Report prepared by Wriggle Coastal Management for Environment Southland. 20p.*
- Robertson, B.M. and Stevens, L. 2008. *Southland Coast - Te Waewae Bay to the Catlins, habitat mapping, risk assessment and monitoring recommendations. Report prepared for Environment Southland. 165p.*
- Robertson, B.M. and Stevens, L.M. 2006. *Southland Estuaries State of Environment Report 2001-2006. Prepared for Environment Southland.*
- Stevens, L.M. and Robertson, B.M. 2010. *Waimatuku Estuary 2010. Fine Scale Monitoring and Macrophyte Mapping. Report prepared by Wriggle Coastal Management for Environment Southland. 13p.*



APPENDIX 1. DETAILS ON ANALYTICAL METHODS

Indicator	Laboratory	Method	Detection Limit
Grain Size	R.J Hill	Air dry (35 degC, sieved to pass 2mm and 63um sieves, gravimetric - (% sand, gravel, silt)	N/A
Total Organic Carbon	R.J Hill	Catalytic combustion, separation, thermal conductivity detector (Elementary Analyser).	0.05g/100g dry wgt
Total Recoverable Phosphorus	R.J Hill	Nitric/hydrochloric acid digestion, ICP-MS (low level) USEPA 200.2.	40 mg/kg dry wgt
Total Nitrogen	R.J Hill	Catalytic combustion, separation, thermal conductivity detector (Elementary Analyser).	500 mg/kg dry wgt

APPENDIX 2. 2011 SURVEY RESULTS

Aquatic Vegetation and Site Details - Waimatuku Estuary - Low Tide (10.30h) 21 February 2011.
Water quality measures collected from the surface and mid-channel bottom water.

Transect	Shape of cross section		Channel width (m)	Channel depth (m)	Secchi Disk Clarity (m)	RPD Depth (cm)	Sediments	Sample Location	Temperature (°C)	Salinity (ppt)	DO (%)	Vegetative Cover	Height (cm)	Stage	Percent Cover (%)	
	west	east														
Upper Estuary	A		6	0.35	Bottom	>5	Gravel	Surface	19.4	0.0	114.5	<i>Ranunculus trichophyllus</i> Periphyton & filamentous algae	30	v	20	
								Bottom	19.4	0.0	114.5		8	v	80	
	B		15	1.7	1.0	3	Clean muddy Gravels	Surface	17.9	1.1	100.3	Filamentous algae No macrophytes	10	v	80-100	
								Bottom	17.9	1.3	70.5					
	C		15	1.3	Bottom	3	Clean muddy Gravels	Surface	17.3	2.0	93.7	<i>Ulva (Enteromorpha) intestinalis</i> No macrophytes	0.2	v	10	
								Bottom	18.3	18.8	85.1					
Ruppia beds above and below transect C.																
Middle Estuary	D		20	1.0	Bottom	0-1	Muddy Sand/ Gravel	Surface	17.8	1.0	91.1	<i>U. intestinalis</i>	40	v	50-80	
								Bottom	18.3	20.0	85.3					
	E		40	0.75	Bottom	0-3	Muddy Gravel/Sand	Surface	17.7	2.7	92.7	<i>U. intestinalis</i> Filamentous algae	50	v	50-80	
								Bottom	18.7	24.2	67.5		10		80-100	
	Anoxic sediments and decaying macroalgae present in shallow water across the transect.															
	F		18	0.85	Bottom	>5	Clean mobile Sand/Gravel	Surface	17.7	2.5	88.8	<i>U. intestinalis</i> <i>Zostera capricorni</i>	20	v	50	
Bottom								18.2	25.5	91.8	10		v	50		
Lower Estuary	G		25	1.0	Bottom	>10	Clean mobile Sand	Surface	17.5	2.7	86.0	<i>U. lactuca</i>	20	v	30	
								Bottom	17.9	25.1	80.5					
	H		25	0.5	Bottom	>10	Clean mobile Sand	Surface	18.0	3.0	83.4	Benthic microalgae	<0.1	v	50-80	
								Bottom	18.6	25.1	76.3					
	I		20	0.35	Bottom	>10	Clean mobile Sand	Surface	17.9	7.6	86.2	Benthic microalgae	<0.1	v	50-80	
								Bottom	17.9	7.6	86.2					
J		15	0.45	Bottom	>10	Clean mobile Sand	Surface	17.7	8.2	86.2	Benthic microalgae	<0.1	v	50-80		
							Bottom	17.7	8.2	86.2						

V=vegetative stage
 F=flowering
 Fr=fruiting